

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Surgical Procedures

ESPS manuscript NO: 14342

Title: Caval Reconstruction Techniques in Orthotopic Liver Transplantation

Reviewer's code: 00005855

Reviewer's country: Japan

Science editor: Xue-Mei Gong

Date sent for review: 2014-09-29 14:50

Date reviewed: 2015-01-04 20:06

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	PubMed Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors reviewed the common methods of inferior vena cava reconstruction during orthotopic liver transplantation. This is extensive but comprehensive review and useful for the readers of The Journal. There are a few issues to be addressed. The first row for the items explaining each column is missing in tables 5 to 7. The presumed Table 8 is not designated correctly. Page 28, line 10 from the bottom, there should be a comma between "VVB" and "there".

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Surgical Procedures

ESPS manuscript NO: 14342

Title: Caval Reconstruction Techniques in Orthotopic Liver Transplantation

Reviewer's code: 02936408

Reviewer's country: Afghanistan

Science editor: Xue-Mei Gong

Date sent for review: 2014-09-29 14:50

Date reviewed: 2014-12-30 05:24

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	PubMed Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I read the manuscript with interest. The authors reviewed the caval reconstruction techniques in orthotopic liver transplantation. Actually there are few randomized controlled trials comparing the use of caval reconstruction techniques. The authors successfully reviewed the topic and this review will be helpful to many physicians besides surgeons. Overall, the manuscript deserves the acceptance. However, the legend of Figure 8 should be changed as Table 8.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Surgical Procedures

ESPS manuscript NO: 14342

Title: Caval Reconstruction Techniques in Orthotopic Liver Transplantation

Reviewer's code: 02936408

Reviewer's country: Afghanistan

Science editor: Xue-Mei Gong

Date sent for review: 2014-09-29 14:50

Date reviewed: 2014-12-30 05:25

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	PubMed Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I read the manuscript with interest. The authors reviewed the caval reconstruction techniques in orthotopic liver transplantation. Actually there are few randomized controlled trials comparing the use of caval reconstruction techniques. The authors successfully reviewed the topic and this review will be helpful to many physicians besides surgeons. Overall, the manuscript deserves the acceptance. However, the legend of Figure 8 should be changed as Table 8.