



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 42542

Title: Analyzing predictors of graft survival in patients undergoing liver transplantation with donors aged 70 years and over

Reviewer’s code: 00182423

Reviewer’s country: South Korea

Science editor: Ruo-Yu Ma

Date sent for review: 2018-10-05

Date reviewed: 2018-10-08

Review time: 2 Days

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer’s expertise on the topic of the manuscript:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> General
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1. This manuscript was attractive in the points that 1) it clarified that liver allografts from donors aged 70 years old or over could be utilized safely, 2) it was from a single center in Spain, where experiences with such grafts have been high, and 3) the authors suggested



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

a simple score, DR-MELD to predict graft survival when using grafts from donors >70 years old. 2. Regarding DR-MELD, let's imagine a recipient aged 40 years old with a MELD score 30 and a donor aged 70 years old. In this case, DR-MELD is $40 \times 30 \times 70 = 84,000$. The authors insisted that a DR-MELD > 75000 must be avoided in order to obtain the best results. However I think many transplant surgeons would proceed with liver transplantation operation as long as the donor condition is acceptable. The decision could also be affected by the deceased donor number in each country. Another example, a 55 years old recipient with a MELD score 20 and a 70 years old donor. DR-MELD, 77000. Would you give up liver transplantation? Let me here your answer. 3. In the section of 'Results', mean and median values were used here and there. Even though you mentioned when you use mean value and when you use median value in the section of Statistical analysis, readers would be confusing. Please consider it seriously. 4. Attached a file I reviewed. Please pay attention to the section of Tables.

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No

BPG Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 42542

Title: Analyzing predictors of graft survival in patients undergoing liver transplantation with donors aged 70 years and over

Reviewer’s code: 02734287

Reviewer’s country: Croatia

Science editor: Ruo-Yu Ma

Date sent for review: 2018-10-31

Date reviewed: 2018-11-02

Review time: 2 Days

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	(High priority)	<input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer’s expertise on the topic of the manuscript:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> General
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a valuable paper dealing with an ever interesting topic in liver transplantation. Its main contribution is the development of a new score to better balance matching of the recipients and older grafts. It is a case control study and the level of evidence is



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

satisfactory. Therefore, I recommend the publication of this study.

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No

BPG Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No