



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 42925

Title: Instant evaluation of contrast enhanced endoscopic ultrasound helps to differentiate various solid pancreatic lesions in daily routine

Reviewer's code: 03473391

Reviewer's country: China

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2018-10-31

Date reviewed: 2018-11-07

Review time: 7 Days

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

General comments: This manuscript presented a retrospective study aimed at evaluating the value of contrast enhanced harmonic endoscopic ultrasound (CEH-EUS) for differentiating various pancreatic lesions routinely. Several perfusion characteristics of



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

pancreatic lesions in 55 patients were obtained and analyzed qualitatively and quantitatively to differentiate various pancreatic lesions, regarding pathological biopsy as a gold standard. With the high sensitivity and specificity of CEH-EUS, the authors confirmed the value of CEH-EUS for diagnostics of various focal pancreatic lesions, especially instant qualitative evaluation. Endoscopic ultrasound (EUS) has been established as an effective tool in the diagnostic of abdominal diseases. The development of CEH-EUS also achieved improved diagnosis accuracy for benign and malignant abdominal tumors. This study is meaningful for clinical evaluation of the diagnostic value of CEH-EUS by qualitative and quantitative analysis. Still, it has some shortages in my perspective. 1. This study only included 55 cases and the different perfusion characteristics may be insufficiently representative. 2. The introduction mentioned that EUS-FNA lacked sufficient accuracy due to non-diagnostic cytological results or misguided fine needle aspirates, but EUS-FNA was still used as the gold standard to verify CEH-EUS diagnostic accuracy in this study. 3. The language should be improved deeply, there are some obvious mistakes on grammar. Special comments: Running title is too long. Page2 Abstract Objective: Line 3 "could show" "have showed" Result: Line7 "3 neuroendocrine carcinoma" "3 neuroendocrine carcinomas" Core tip Line4 Please add a comma after "cohort". Page6 Line4 "venes" "veins" Results Line2 "23 patients female" "23 patients were female" Line3 "Of those 55 Patients" "Of those 55 patients" Discussion Line1 Please add a comma after "study".

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- [] The same title
- [] Duplicate publication
- [] Plagiarism



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

[Y] No

BPG Search:

[] The same title

[] Duplicate publication

[] Plagiarism

[Y] No



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 42925

Title: Instant evaluation of contrast enhanced endoscopic ultrasound helps to differentiate various solid pancreatic lesions in daily routine

Reviewer's code: 02534438

Reviewer's country: Croatia

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2018-11-19

Date reviewed: 2018-11-19

Review time: 9 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear Sir, I read with pleasure the manuscript titled "Instant evaluation of contrast enhanced endoscopic ultrasound helps to differentiate various solid pancreatic lesions in daily routine". This is a valuable study, although the number of patients is small for



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

planned statistical analyses. The greatest value of CEH-EUS in today's clinical practice would be to aid in decision making process in cases of inconclusive ("suspicious") cells found during FNA, since inconclusive FNA can unnecessarily delay surgery. While FNA/histology should still be mandatory to exclude lymphomas and benign lesions, CEH-EUS may be of great value. Some language polishing may be nice.

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No

BPG Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 42925

Title: Instant evaluation of contrast enhanced endoscopic ultrasound helps to differentiate various solid pancreatic lesions in daily routine

Reviewer's code: 03563654

Reviewer's country: United States

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2018-11-19

Date reviewed: 2018-11-26

Review time: 7 Days

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

well written manuscript. i have some questions. 1-what is the statistical method?
2-"pancreas cancer diagnosis can be increased by the help of EUS" ((Sakarya Med J, 2018, 8(1):149-152).) and (Turkiye Klinikleri J Gen Surg-Special Topics 2018;11(2):112-4) I



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

suggest both of these uptodate studies for the references.

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No

BPG Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No