WJP World Journal of **Psychiatry**

Submit a Manuscript: https://www.f6publishing.com

World J Psychiatry 2023 November 19; 13(11): 919-928

DOI: 10.5498/wjp.v13.i11.919

Observational Study

ISSN 2220-3206 (online)

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Relationship between primary caregivers' social support function, anxiety, and depression after interventional therapy for acute myocardial infarction patients

Jun Bao, Xiao-Yan Wang, Chong-Hao Chen, Li-Ting Zou

Specialty type: Psychiatry

Provenance and peer review:

Unsolicited article; Externally peer reviewed.

Peer-review model: Single blind

Peer-review report's scientific quality classification

Grade A (Excellent): 0 Grade B (Very good): B Grade C (Good): C Grade D (Fair): 0 Grade E (Poor): 0

P-Reviewer: Knas M, Poland; Quansah F, Ghana

Received: September 5, 2023 Peer-review started: September 5, 2023 First decision: September 14, 2023 Revised: September 20, 2023 Accepted: October 11, 2023 Article in press: October 11, 2023 Published online: November 19, 2023



Jun Bao, Xiao-Yan Wang, Chong-Hao Chen, Department of Cardiovascular Medicine, Affiliated Hospital of Jiangnan University, Wuxi 214000, Jiangsu Province, China

Li-Ting Zou, Department of Emergency, Affiliated Hospital of Jiangnan University, Wuxi 214000, Jiangsu Province, China

Corresponding author: Li-Ting Zou, MBBS, Associate Professor, Department of Emergency, Affiliated Hospital of Jiangnan University, No. 1000 Hefeng Road, Wuxi 214000, Jiangsu Province, China. carthise2@163.com

Abstract

BACKGROUND

An acute myocardial infarction (AMI) is often treated with direct coronary intervention and requires home-based rehabilitation. Caregivers of patients with AMI need adequate social support to maintain high-quality care; however, their social support function is low, and relevant indicators for intervention must be identified.

AIM

To analyze the correlation between social support for primary caregivers, their anxiety, and depression, when caring for patients with AMI after interventional therapy.

METHODS

Using convenience sampling, we selected 300 primary caregivers of patients with AMI who had undergone interventional therapy. The Social Support Rating Scale (SSRS), Self-Rating Anxiety Scale (SAS), and Self-Rating Depression Scale (SDS) were used to assess the primary caregivers. A Pearson's correlation analysis was used to analyze the correlations between the SSRS, SAS, and SDS, and a multiple logistic regression analysis was used to analyze the factors influencing the low social support function of primary caregivers. The receiver operating characteristic curve and area under the curve (AUC) were used to evaluate the predictive ability of the SAS and SDS for low social support function in primary caregivers.

RESULTS



Considering the norm among Chinese people, AMI caregivers' objective support, subjective support, support utilization, and SSRS scores were lower, while their SAS and SDS scores were higher. The SSRS scores of female caregivers were higher than those of the male caregivers (t = 2.123, P = 0.035). The Pearson correlation analysis showed that objective support, subjective support, support utilization, and SSRS total scores were significantly correlated with both SAS (r = -0.414, -0.460, -0.416, -0.535) and SDS scores (r = -0.463, -0.379, -0.349, -0.472). Among the 300 AMI caregivers, 56 cases (18.67%) had a low level of support function (SSRS \leq 22 points). Logistic regression model analysis showed that SAS and SDS were independent risk factors for low social support function of AMI caregivers, regardless of adjustment for other variables (P < 0.05). SAS and SDS predicted that the AUC of AMI caregivers with low support function was 0.84, sensitivity was 67.9 and 71.4, and specificity was 84.0 and 70.9, respectively.

CONCLUSION

The social support function of the primary caregiver of patients with AMI after interventional therapy was lower and negatively correlated with anxiety and depression in the primary caregiver.

Key Words: Acute myocardial infarction; Primary caregivers; Social support function; Anxiety; Depression; Relationship

©The Author(s) 2023. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core Tip: High levels of social support help caregivers implement care. Intervention in the social support function of the primary caregiver is beneficial for the postoperative recovery of patients with acute myocardial infarction (AMI) after interventional therapy. To find a new intervention direction, we proposed a relationship between the social support function of primary caregivers of patients with AMI, and anxiety and depression; this is a breakthrough in improving the social support function of primary caregivers.

Citation: Bao J, Wang XY, Chen CH, Zou LT. Relationship between primary caregivers' social support function, anxiety, and depression after interventional therapy for acute myocardial infarction patients. *World J Psychiatry* 2023; 13(11): 919-928 **URL:** https://www.wjgnet.com/2220-3206/full/v13/i11/919.htm **DOI:** https://dx.doi.org/10.5498/wjp.v13.i11.919

INTRODUCTION

Acute myocardial infarction (AMI) is myocardial necrosis caused by acute or persistent ischemia and hypoxia in the coronary arteries. It is typically characterized by persistent chest pain[1]. Usually, after rest and use of nitrates, patients do not receive complete relief but experience arrhythmia, shock, or heart failure, resulting in loss of daily living ability and serious mental and behavioral disorders. According to relevant surveys and research data, the incidence of AMI in China has increased sharply in recent years, with the average annual number of new cases exceeding 500000[2]. Acute STelevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) within 12 h is usually treated with direct coronary intervention[3]. Postoperatively, most patients require home-based rehabilitation and caregiver care. During rehabilitation, the physical and mental state of the caregiver affects the prognosis and degree of recovery of the patient. The patient's condition also affects the physical and psychological states, as well as the quality of the caregiver's life, thus affecting their ability and quality of care[4]. Caregivers experience physical and emotional stress during the long-term care process. As a relative of the patient, the caregiver experiences heavy emotional stress and shoulders financial pressure. Studies have found that if caregivers receive sufficient social support, they can maintain their physical and mental health better and adapt to their caregiving role^[5]. Ensuring a high social support function for caregivers has a positive clinical significance for patients' rehabilitation. However, there is a lack of effective intervention strategies to improve caregivers' social support, and more clinical evidence is needed to support the factors related to social support. Research has found that caregivers of patients with AMI often have different negative emotional levels of anxiety and depression[6,7]. Therefore, we propose that the social support function of caregivers of patients with AMI may be related to anxiety and depression. Based on this, we selected 300 primary caregivers of patients undergoing interventional treatment for AMI.

Considering the above, this study aims to investigate the levels of social support, anxiety, and depression among caregivers of AMI patients, and analyze the correlation between the social support function of main caregivers and their anxiety and depression after interventional therapy, to provide a reference for improving the quality of home care.

Zaisbideng® WJP | https://www.wjgnet.com

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Source of research object

Using convenience sampling, we selected 300 primary caregivers of patients with AMI who received concurrent interventional treatment at the Affiliated Hospital of Jiangnan University, between January 2021 and December 2022.

Patients needed to comply with the following conditions: (1) Show AMI symptoms and evidence of myocardial ischemia^[8]; (2) Be diagnosed with acute STEMI within 12 h and treated with coronary intervention; and (3) Be 18 years or older. The caregiver was required to meet the following conditions: (1) Be at least 18 years of age; (2) Be the patient's immediate family member and has been caring for the patient for the longest time (*i.e.*, is the patient's primary caregiver); (3) Have normal reading and writing ability and be able to complete the questionnaire independently; and (4) Be aware of this research and voluntarily agree to be investigated. The caregiver could not have the following conditions: (1) Mental abnormalities or intellectual disabilities; (2) Language expression and communication barriers; (3) A history of alcohol or drug dependence; or (4) Be a paid caregiver.

Survey tool

General information questionnaire. Based on a literature review and expert consultation, a general information questionnaire was prepared, which included age, gender, number of hospitalizations, payment method of medical expenses, proportion of out-of-pocket medical expenses to family income, course of the disease, heart function, and daily living ability. Caregivers' general information included age, gender, education level, marital status, relationship with patients, monthly family income, caretaking experience, number of other caregivers, etc., as well as the age of patients, time from onset to admission, and Killip grade.

The Social Support Rating Scale (SSRS)[9] was created by domestic scholar Xiao Shui-Yuan in 1986, to assess the status of people's social support, including subjective support, objective support, and support utilization. The scale comprises three dimensions and ten items, with the total score being the sum of the scores for each item. Higher scores indicate higher levels of social support. The total score is 66 points, of which \leq 22 points are rated as low level, 23–44 points as medium, and 45-66 points as high. The retest reliability is 0.92, and the reliability and validity of all items are high (Cronbach's α coefficient was 0.89–0.94). The SSRS Chinese norm refers to the work of Zhang *et al*[10], in which a norm established based on the population of the whole country as a sampling population. This is the reference value.

The Self-Rating Anxiety Scale (SAS)[11], developed by Zung in 1971, was used to assess the subjective feelings of patients with anxiety. The scale contains 20 items and the score criteria are as follows: 1 point for no or very little time; 2 points for a small amount of time; 3 points for more time; and 4 points for most of the time. The scores were added together to obtain a rough score, and multiplied by 1.25 to obtain a standard score. The higher the score, the greater the anxiety. The Cronbach's α coefficient of the scale was 0.931.

The Self-Rating Depression Scale (SDS)[12] developed by Zung in 1965 was used to assess the subjective feelings of patients with depression, with a total of 20 items. The scoring criteria for each item were as follows: Occasionally, 1 point; sometimes, 2 points; often, 3 points; and always, 4 points. The total score is rough, and the gross score multiplied by 1.25 equals the standard score. Higher scores indicate more severe depression. The Cronbach's α coefficient of the scale was 0.927. Both SAS and SDS Chinese norms refer to the literature of Kang[13], which provides a norm based on the population of the whole country as a sampling population, and has reference value.

Investigation method

Community doctors or nurses with uniform training conducted household surveys with primary caregivers or invited them to community health service institutions to complete the surveys. The researcher informed the primary caregivers of the purpose, significance, and participation method of the survey and encouraged them to provide their informed consent, which was explained to them in simple and understandable language. Subsequently, the primary caregivers completed the questionnaire. The researchers assisted those with low cultural or language abilities in completing the questionnaires. Questionnaires were completed with confidential information and collected immediately. A total of 329 questionnaires were sent out; 306 questionnaires were returned, and 300 effective questionnaires were obtained; an effective rate of 98.04%.

Quality control

To reduce the risk of privacy disclosure, the respondents were surveyed anonymously. We arranged the questionnaire survey in an independent room, allowed the investigator and primary caregiver to be present during the investigation, and entered the data through double cross-entry and cross-examination.

Statistical analysis

SPSS (version 23.0) was used for the data analysis and processing. Case numbers described counting data; mean ± standard deviation describes measurement data tested by a line test or an F-test; a Pearson's correlation was used to analyze correlation, a multiple linear regression explored risk factors, and receiver operator characteristic curve (ROC) and area under the curve (AUC) predicted ability evaluation; Test level: $\alpha = 0.05$.

WJP | https://www.wjgnet.com

Bao J et al. Social support correlation of AMI caregivers

Table 1 Comparison of Social Support Rating scale scores of primary caregivers with Chinese norms							
SSRS items	SSRS score (<i>n</i> = 300)	Chinese norm (<i>n</i> = 3342)	t value	<i>P</i> value			
Objective support	7.05 ± 1.6	9.1 ± 3.0	11.690	< 0.001			
Subjective support	16.9 ± 5.0	23.5 ± 4.3	25.110	< 0.001			
Support utilization	4.7 ± 1.3	7.8 ± 2.0	26.350	< 0.001			
Total scores of AMI caregivers	28.56 ± 5.31	40.5 ± 2.8	64.230	< 0.001			

SSRS: Social Support Rating scale; AMI: Acute Myocardial Infarction.

Table 2 Comparison of Self Rating Anxiety Scale scores and SDS scores of primary caregivers with Chinese norms						
Items	Scores (<i>n</i> = 300)	Chinese norm (<i>n</i> = 1338)	t value	P value		
SAS	41.26 ± 6.58	29.78 ± 10.07	18.860	< 0.001		
SDS	44.16 ± 7.54	33.46 ± 8.55	20.000	< 0.001		

SAS: Self Rating Anxiety Scale; SDS: Self Rating Depression Scale.

RESULTS

Primary caregivers had low SSRS

Compared with the Chinese norm, the objective support, subjective support, support utilization, and total scores of AMI caregivers were lower (P < 0.05) (Table 1).

The SAS and SDS scores of primary caregivers were higher

Compared with the Chinese norm, the scores of SAS and SDS among caregivers of patients with AMI were higher (P < P0.05) (Table 2).

SSRS scores of primary caregivers with different characteristics

There were no differences in the SSRS scores among different age groups, gender, education level, marital status, relationship with patients, monthly family income, caregiving experience, number of other caregivers, age of patients, time from onset to admission, or Killip rating of patients (P > 0.05); however, the SSRS scores of female caregivers were higher than those of male caregivers (P < 0.05) (Table 3).

Correlation between the SSRS score and SAS score or SDS score

Through the Pearson correlation analysis, objective support, subjective support, support utilization, and total SSRS scores were found to be negatively correlated with SAS (r = -0.414, -0.460, -0.416, -0.535, respectively) and SDS scores (r = -0.463, -0.379, -0.349, -0.472, respectively) (*P* < 0.05) (Table 4).

Multiple regression analysis affecting primary caregiver support function

Among the 300 AMI caregivers, 56 cases (18.67%) had a low level of support function (SSRS \leq 22 points). We used the support function (1 = low level, 0 = medium-high level) as the dependent variable and the characteristic indicators as selfvariables (assigned values are shown in Table 5) of the logistic regression model analysis. SAS and SDS were independent determinants of low support function among AMI caregivers, regardless of adjustment for other variables (P < 0.05) (Table 6).

The ability of SAS and SDS scores to predict low social support function in AMI caregivers

The AUC of the SAS and SDS for predicting AMI caregivers with low social support function was 0.84, sensitivity was 67.9 and 71.4, and specificity was 84.0 and 70.9, respectively (Table 7 and Figure 1).

DISCUSSION

At present, the mental health outlook of family caregivers of patients with AMI in China is not optimistic, which directly affects their caring ability. The poor caring ability of caregivers not only affects the condition and prognosis of patients with AMI but also affects their physical and mental health. Therefore, it is necessary to explore the relationship between the social support function of primary caregivers, their anxiety, and their depression.



WJP https://www.wjgnet.com

Table 3 Social Support Rating Scale scores of primary caregivers with different characteristics							
Characteristics	Cases	SSRS scores	<i>t/F</i> value	<i>P</i> value			
Age			1.317	0.189			
< 60 yr	229	29.47 ± 6.96					
≥60 yr	71	28.25 ± 6.34					
Gender			2.123	0.035			
Female	172	29.47 ± 6.96					
Male	128	27.89 ± 5.49					
Education levels			1.172	0.311			
Junior high school and below	83	28.96 ± 7.16					
High school or technical secondary school	100	30.07 ± 6.24					
College or above	117	28.71 ± 6.98					
Marital status			3.382	3.382			
Never married	80	28.44 ± 8.03					
Married	153	27.42 ± 5.45					
Divorced or widowed	67	29.84 ± 6.25					
Relationship with patients			0.8741	0.874			
Mate	105	27.98 ± 5.67					
Parent	98	27.76 ± 6.70					
Sons and daughters	65	29.09 ± 7.09					
Other	32	29.28 ± 7.11					
Monthly family income			0.607	0.546			
< 5000 RMB	96	28.72 ± 6.85					
5000-10000 RMB	128	27.95 ± 5.39					
> 10000 RMB	76	28.79 ± 6.76					
Caregiving experience			0.149	0.882			
No	202	29.29 ± 7.46					
Yes	98	29.16 ± 6.30					
Number of other caregivers			1.036	1.036			
0	55	29.62 ± 6.15					
1 or 2	157	28.65 ± 7.003					
≥3	88	27.95 ± 6.67					
Age of patients			0.571	0.568			
< 60 yr	221	28.63 ± 7.42					
≥ 60 yr	79	29.17 ± 6.59					
Time from onset to admission			0.683	0.495			
< 10 h	114	29.21 ± 6.64					
≥10 h	186	28.64 ± 7.23					
Killip rating of patients			1.065	0.288			
Level 1 or 2	221	28.82 ± 7.15					
Level 3 or 4	79	27.84 ± 6.64					



Baishideng® WJP | https://www.wjgnet.com

Table 4 Correlation between Social Support Rating Scale score, Self Rating Anxiety Scale score, and Self Rating Depression Scale score

SSRS items	SAS score		SDS score		
	<i>r</i> value	<i>P</i> value	<i>r</i> value	<i>P</i> value	
Objective support	-0.414	< 0.001	-0.463	< 0.001	
Subjective support	-0.460	< 0.001	-0.379	< 0.001	
Support utilization	-0.416	< 0.001	-0.349	< 0.001	
Total SSRS score	-0.535	< 0.001	-0.472	< 0.001	

SSRS: Social Support Rating Scale; SAS: Self Rating Anxiety Scale; SDS: Self Rating Depression Scale.

Table 5 Assignment	
Independent variable	Assignment
Age	$0 = < 60 \text{ yr}, 1 = \ge 60 \text{ yr}$
Gender	0 = female, 1 = male
Education levels	0 = junior high school and below, 1 = high school or technical secondary school, 2 = college or above
Marital status	0 = spinsterhood, 1 = married, 2 = divorced or widowed
Relationship with patients	0 = mate, 1 = parent, 2 = sons and daughters, 3 = other
Monthly family income	0 = < 5000 RMB, 1 = 5000-10000 RMB, 2 = > 10000 RMB
Caregiving experience	0 = no, 1 = yeas
Number of other caregivers	$0 = 0, 1 = 1 \text{ or } 2, 2 = \ge 3$
Age of patients	$0 = < 60 \text{ yr}, 1 = \ge 60 \text{ yr}$
Time from onset to admission	$0 = < 10 \text{ h}, 1 = \ge 10 \text{ h}$
Killip rating of patients	0 = level 1 or 2, 1 = level 3 or 2



Figure 1 Receiver operator characteristic curve analysis of Self-Rating Anxiety Scale score and Self-Rating Depression Scale score prediction. SAS: Self-Rating Anxiety Scale; SDS: Self-Rating Depression Scale.

Znishideng® WJP | https://www.wjgnet.com

Table 6 Multiple regression analysis affecting primary caregiver support function							
hadan an da sé an da bh		SE	Wals	P value	OR	95% CI	
Independent variable	в					Lower limit	Upper limit
No other variables were adjusted							
SAS	0.177	0.032	30.813	< 0.001	1.194	1.121	1.271
SDS	0.070	0.026	7.288	0.007	1.072	1.019	1.128
Constant	-12.392	1.723	51.741	< 0.001	< 0.001		
After adjusting for other variables							
SAS	0.193	0.035	30.566	< 0.001	1.213	1.133	1.300
SDS	0.068	0.028	6.008	0.014	1.071	1.014	1.131
Age	-0.261	0.488	0.287	0.592	0.770	0.296	2.003
Gender	0.133	0.395	0.113	0.737	1.142	0.527	2.477
Education levels			0.550	0.760			
High school or technical secondary school	0.298	0.452	0.434	0.510	1.347	0.555	3.269
College or above	-0.044	0.449	0.010	0.922	0.957	0.397	2.306
Marital status			0.140	0.932			
Married	0.091	0.535	0.029	0.864	1.096	0.384	3.127
Divorced or widowed	-0.073	0.462	0.025	0.875	0.930	0.376	2.298
Relationship with patients			1.974	0.578			
Parent	0.253	0.694	0.133	0.715	1.288	0.331	5.019
Sons and daughters	0.759	0.699	1.179	0.278	2.135	0.543	8.400
Other	0.339	0.728	0.217	0.641	1.404	0.337	5.848
Monthly family income			3.042	0.218			
5000-10000 RMB	0.453	0.462	0.960	0.327	1.573	0.636	3.893
> 10000 RMB	-0.281	0.519	0.293	0.588	0.755	0.273	2.087
Caregiving experience	-0.294	0.399	0.540	0.462	0.746	0.341	1.631
Number of other caregivers			1.015	0.602			
1 or 2	0.351	0.552	0.406	0.524	1.421	0.482	4.188
≥3	0.416	0.418	0.991	0.320	1.515	0.668	3.435
Age of patients	-0.051	0.439	0.013	0.908	0.950	0.402	2.249
Time from onset to admission	-0.008	0.385	0.000	0.983	0.992	0.467	2.108
Killip rating of patients	-0.172	0.424	0.164	0.685	0.842	0.367	1.933
Constant	-13.699	2.070	43.780	< 0.001	< 0.001	-	-

SAS: Self Rating Anxiety Scale; SDS: Self Rating Depression Scale; B: Regression Coefficient β; SE: Standard Error; OR: Odds Ratio; CI: Confidence Interval.

Table 7 Self Rating Anxiety Scale scores and Self Rating Depression Scale scores predicted the area under the curve of primary caregivers with low levels of social support							
Test result variable	AUC	SE	P value	95%CI	Sensitivity (%)	Specificity (%)	Optimum cutoff value
SAS	0.84	0.84	< 0.001	0.737, 0.872	67.9	84.0	45.5
SDS	0.84	0.84	< 0.001	0.655, 0.807	71.4	70.9	47.5

SAS: Self Rating Anxiety Scale; SDS: Self Rating Depression Scale; AUC: Area under the curve; CI: Confidence interval.

The occurrence of AMI affects not only patients, but also their families and caregivers^[14]. Compared with the norms in China, caregivers' objective support, subjective support, support utilization, and total SSRS scores were lower, while the SAS and SDS scores were higher. This indicates that the social support function of primary caregivers was generally low, and that negative emotions of anxiety and depression were more common, which should be considered. These results confirm those of previous studies[15,16]. The social support function of primary caregivers was generally low, which may be due to an imperfect medical security system and deficiencies in follow-up and community nursing, resulting in less social support for primary caregivers. Additionally, problems such as drug side effects and social discrimination can indirectly lead to primary caregivers' self-isolation and reluctance to seek outside help, thereby greatly reducing the availability of social support. Good social support stems from the need for spiritual comfort and support from family, friends, and community. This situation serves as a warning to medical staff to pay attention to social support, assist primary caregivers in establishing social support systems, and enhance their social support functions.

Anxiety is defined as an inner restlessness and fear with no obvious objective causes, whereas depression is defined as persistent low mood in terms of clinical characteristics of the state of mind. The long-term care of patients with AMI undergoing interventional surgery negatively affects the mental health of their primary caregivers. Because AMI is often associated with varying degrees of dysfunction after surgery, the primary caregiver must provide frequent in-bed turning and back-patting to prevent bedsores and transfer patients between beds and wheelchairs. The primary caregiver takes care of the patient in various aspects, such as daily activities, daily living, and diet, and expends a lot of physical strength, time, and energy, which is extremely costly for the body and mind of the primary caregiver and must be maintained for a long time. Primary caregivers also face personality changes in patients with AMI, which will produce psychological reactions such as helplessness, depression, anger, loneliness, and boredom during the care process, affecting normal emotional functions. Patients who have not fully recovered after surgery may relapse[17]; moreover, their primary caregivers must consider both the patient's condition and the financial burden it brings. Such repeated worries aggravate anxiety and depression.

Social support refers to the help people receive from society or others through their social networks; it includes objective support, subjective support, and support utilization. According to the results of our Pearson's correlation analysis, objective support, subjective support, support utilization, and total SSRS scores were significantly negatively correlated with SAS and SDS scores, suggesting that with the increase in anxiety and depression among primary caregivers of patients with AMI, their social support function is lower, which is consistent with some existing research results[18,19]. Further, our multiple linear regression analysis found that the SAS score [odds ratio (OR) = 1.194] and SDS score (OR = 1.072) were independent influencing factors of low social support function in primary caregivers; these two indicators could accurately predict the risk of low social support, and the sensitivity and specificity of the prediction reached more than 65%. The results showed that, after excluding other interfering factors, the lower the anxiety and depression experienced by the primary caregiver, the stronger the social support function. A possible explanation for this effect is that the lower the social support function of the primary caregiver, the greater the caring burden[20], and the more likely it is to produce anxiety and depression. Research also shows that good social support can not only promote the physical health of caregivers, but also effectively relieve their depression and anxiety[13]. According to research by the Chinese Academy of Social Sciences, social support can not only improve the psychological satisfaction of the body, but also alleviate its negative emotions[21]. Therefore, the community should not only pay attention to the primary caregivers of AMI patients undergoing interventional surgery, but should also strengthen the care and help of caregivers by providing adequate psychological support and encouragement. Family visits, caregiver experience exchange platforms, rehabilitation guidance, and other measures can reduce the burden on caregivers, improve the mental health of caregivers and patients, and achieve a win-win outcome.

The limitations of this study is that it is a single-center study, and the results can only reflect part of the population; therefore, whether it can be generalized to the general population is unknown. Further, the inclusion of characteristic indicators of primary caregivers is limited, meaning that potential impact indicators may have been overlooked. Therefore, future studies should include multi-center data and add characteristic indicators to enhance the reliability of the results of this study.

CONCLUSION

The social support function of the primary caregiver of patients with AMI after interventional therapy was low and negatively correlated with anxiety and depression of the primary caregiver. For primary caregivers with anxiety and depression, timely attention and providing a deep examination of underlying issues should be provided to reduce adverse emotional distress, so that the caregiver can maintain a happy mood and implement care.

ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS

Research background

After interventional therapy, most patients need care at home, from their caregivers. The social support function of primary caregivers is an important factor affecting the quality of care and prognosis of patients. Primary caregivers often experience varying degrees of anxiety and depression.

WJP https://www.wjgnet.com

Research motivation

It is necessary to understand the correlation indicators of primary caregivers' social support functions to better guide clinical interventions. Considering that primary caregivers tend to have different levels of anxiety and depression, we speculate that their social support function may be related to anxiety and depression.

Research objectives

To explore the relationship between anxiety, depression, and the social support function of primary caregivers of patients with acute myocardial infarction (AMI) undergoing interventional surgery.

Research methods

Investigate the primary caregivers of AMI patients undergoing interventional surgery using the Social Support Rating Scale (SSRS), Self-Rating Anxiety Scale (SAS), and Self-Rating Depression Scale (SDS). The correlation between the SSRS and SAS or SDS was evaluated using a Pearson's correlation analysis, multiple linear regression, receiver operator characteristic curve (ROC), and area under the curve (AUC).

Research results

The SSRS was negatively correlated with the SAS and SDS scores. SAS and SDS were independent factors for low SSRS and could predict the risk of low SSRS.

Research conclusions

The social support function of primary caregivers of AMI patients undergoing interventional surgery is associated with anxiety and depression.

Research perspectives

Based on the Pearson's correlation analysis, multiple linear regression, ROC, and AUC, we comprehensively analyzed the correlation between the SSRS, SAS, and SDS scores of primary caregivers of patients with AMI who underwent interventional surgery, confirming that the social support function of primary caregivers is closely related to anxiety and depression, which is instructive for clinical intervention.

FOOTNOTES

Author contributions: Bao J designed the research and wrote the paper; Zou LT supervised the report; Wang XY contributed to the analysis; and Chen CH provided clinical advice.

Institutional review board statement: The study procedures were approved by the Ethics Committee of the Affiliated Hospital of Jiangnan University (approval No. WXSY-YXLL-AF/SC-02/01.0).

Informed consent statement: All participants provided written and informed consent.

Data sharing statement: Raw data for this study can be obtained from the corresponding author.

STROBE statement: The authors have read the STROBE Statement checklist of items, and the manuscript was prepared and revised according to this checklist.

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: https://creativecommons.org/Licenses/by-nc/4.0/

Country/Territory of origin: China

ORCID number: Jun Bao 0009-0000-4502-4602; Xiao-Yan Wang 0009-0005-5783-3788; Chong-Hao Chen 0009-0001-0710-0552; Li-Ting Zou 0009-0002-5470-3118.

S-Editor: Lin C L-Editor: A P-Editor: Chen YX

REFERENCES

Henry TD, Tomey MI, Tamis-Holland JE, Thiele H, Rao SV, Menon V, Klein DG, Naka Y, Piña IL, Kapur NK, Dangas GD; American Heart Association Interventional Cardiovascular Care Committee of the Council on Clinical Cardiology; Council on Arteriosclerosis, Thrombosis



and Vascular Biology; and Council on Cardiovascular and Stroke Nursing. Invasive Management of Acute Myocardial Infarction Complicated by Cardiogenic Shock: A Scientific Statement From the American Heart Association. Circulation 2021; 143: e815-e829 [PMID: 33657830 DOI: 10.1161/CIR.00000000000959]

- Boyanpally A, Cutting S, Furie K. Acute Ischemic Stroke Associated with Myocardial Infarction: Challenges and Management. Semin Neurol 2 2021; 41: 331-339 [PMID: 33851390 DOI: 10.1055/s-0041-1726333]
- Kim YH, Her AY, Jeong MH, Kim BK, Hong SJ, Kim S, Ahn CM, Kim JS, Ko YG, Choi D, Hong MK, Jang Y. Prediabetes versus type 2 3 diabetes mellitus based on pre-percutaneous coronary intervention thrombolysis in myocardial infarction flow grade in patients with STsegment elevation myocardial infarction after successful newer-generation drug-eluting stent implantation. Diab Vasc Dis Res 2021; 18: 1479164121991505 [PMID: 33535819 DOI: 10.1177/1479164121991505]
- Xiao P, Zhou Y. Factors associated with the burden of family caregivers of elderly patients with femoral neck fracture: a cross-sectional study. 4 J Orthop Surg Res 2020; 15: 234 [PMID: 32576212 DOI: 10.1186/s13018-020-01749-9]
- 5 Li FY, Jiao NJ, Zhu SH, Guo K. Influence of supportive psychological intervention on social support and care burden of family members of patients with coronary heart disease undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention. Zhongguo Yiyao Daobao 2022; 19: 163-166 [DOI: 10.20047/j.issn1673-7210.2022.30.37]
- Wang HN, Li J. Effects of peer support education based on IMB model in main caregivers of elderly patients with myocardial infarction. 6 Zhonghua Xiandai Huli Zazhi 2021; 27: 4149-4152 [DOI: 10.3760/cma.j.cn115682-20210226-00868]
- Yang TT, Zhao ZJ. The effect of social support intervention on the care of the family members of patients with acute myocardial infarction. 7 Zhonghua Quanke Yixue 2018; 16: 813-815+819 [DOI: 10.16766/j.cnki.issn.1674-4152.000222]
- Mitsis A, Gragnano F. Myocardial Infarction with and without ST-segment Elevation: a Contemporary Reappraisal of Similarities and 8 Differences. Curr Cardiol Rev 2021; 17: e230421189013 [PMID: 33305709 DOI: 10.2174/1573403X16999201210195702]
- 9 Li Z, Ge J, Feng J, Jiang R, Zhou Q, Xu X, Pan Y, Liu S, Gui B, Wang Z, Zhu B, Hu Y, Yang J, Wang R, Su D, Hashimoto K, Yang M, Yang C, Liu C. Less Social Support for Patients With COVID-19: Comparison With the Experience of Nurses. Front Psychiatry 2021; 12: 554435 [PMID: 33633601 DOI: 10.3389/fpsyt.2021.554435]
- Zhang R, Liu XM, Lai YL. Effect of comprehensive intervention on depression and anxiety in relatives of schizophrenic patients. Linchuang 10 Jingshen Yixue Zazhi 2018; 28: 256-258 [DOI: 10.3969/j.issn.1005-3220.2018.04.013]
- Hou YJ, Li YJ, Wang X, Hui NN, Zhu HL, Ma M, Zhang ZX, Wang MX. Relationship of college students' risk perception of COVID-19 and 11 their anxiety and depression: A moderated mediation model. Xi'an Jiaotong Daxue Xuebao Yixueban 2023; 44: 177-184 [DOI: 10.7652/idvxb202302004]
- Posluszny DM, Bovbjerg DH, Syrjala KL, Agha M, Dew MA. Correlates of anxiety and depression symptoms among patients and their family 12 caregivers prior to allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplant for hematological malignancies. Support Care Cancer 2019; 27: 591-600 [PMID: 30022348 DOI: 10.1007/s00520-018-4346-3]
- Kang JM, Chen XY, Chen L, Wu CK, Deng YL, Li WY. Analysis on relativity between social support and quality of life of family caregivers 13 of patients with stroke sequelae. Zhongguo Yiyao Daobao 2020; 17: 57-60
- 14 Qin YP. The application of family nursing based on timing theory in AMI patients and its influence on family caring ability and quality of life of patients. Guoji Hulixue Zazhi 2019; 38: 3832-3834 [DOI: 10.3760/cma.j.issn.1673-4351.2019.22.057]
- 15 Lan K, Pan YL, Wang Q, Chen S. Analysis of influencing factors of caregiver preparedness at discharge of patients with chronic heart failure based on the individual and family self-management theory. Zhongguo Shiyong Huli Zazhi 2023; 39: 699-706 [DOI: 10.3760/cma.j.cn211501-20220411-01094]
- Verkleij M, Appelman I, Altenburg J, Twisk J, Quittner AL, Haarman E. Anxiety and depression in Dutch patients with primary ciliary 16 dyskinesia and their caregivers: associations with health-related quality of life. ERJ Open Res 2021; 7 [PMID: 34708110 DOI: 10.1183/23120541.00274-2021
- Ma LX, Chen Y, Kou J, Sui YJ. Two-year prognosis of patients with acute myocardial infarction after interventional operation and its 17 influencing factors. Hainan Yixue 2022; 33: 2048-2051 [DOI: 10.3969/j.issn.1003-6350.2022.16.002]
- Lin C, Tong Y, Bai Y, Zhao Z, Quan W, Liu Z, Wang J, Song Y, Tian J, Dong W. Prevalence and correlates of depression and anxiety among 18 Chinese international students in US colleges during the COVID-19 pandemic: A cross-sectional study. PLoS One 2022; 17: e0267081 [PMID: 35421199 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0267081]
- Yang J, Hou HR, Tan JP, Wang XX, Xu J. Burden and influencing factors of caregivers for patients with Alzheimer's disease. Zhongguo 19 Linchuang Baojian Zazhi 2019; 22: 170-173 [DOI: 10.3969/J.issn.1672-6790.2019.02.007]
- Jin M, Li L, Yao JL. Role of Social Support in the Quality of Life and Burden of Care of Primary Caregivers of Pa-tients with Liver Cancer. -20 Jiefangjun Huli Zazhi 2020; 37: 65-68, 75 [DOI: 10.3969/j.issn.1008-9993.2020.05.016]
- Zhang H, Jiang W, Li YJ. Correlation analysis of postoperative cardiac transplant recipients of social support and anxiety depression status and 21 physical function. Zhongguo Xinxueguanbing Yanjiu 2019; 17: 420-425 [DOI: 10.3969/j.issn.1672-5301.2019.05.009]



WJP | https://www.wjgnet.com



Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA Telephone: +1-925-3991568 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com Help Desk: https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk https://www.wjgnet.com

