



Penile and scrotal strangulation by stainless steel rings in an human immunodeficiency virus positive man: A case report

Daisuke Usuda, Nobuyoshi Kaminishi, Masashi Kato, Yuto Sugawara, Runa Shimizu, Tomotari Inami, Shiho Tsuge, Riki Sakurai, Kenji Kawai, Shun Matsubara, Risa Tanaka, Makoto Suzuki, Shintaro Shimozaawa, Yuta Hotchi, Ippei Osugi, Risa Katou, Sakurako Ito, Kentaro Mishima, Akihiko Kondo, Keiko Mizuno, Hiroki Takami, Takayuki Komatsu, Jiro Oba, Tomohisa Nomura, Manabu Sugita

Specialty type: Emergency medicine

Provenance and peer review:

Unsolicited article; Externally peer reviewed.

Peer-review model: Single blind

Peer-review report's scientific quality classification

Grade A (Excellent): 0
Grade B (Very good): B, B
Grade C (Good): 0
Grade D (Fair): 0
Grade E (Poor): 0

P-Reviewer: Ghimire R, Nepal; Harsini PA, Iran

Received: June 7, 2023

Peer-review started: June 7, 2023

First decision: July 18, 2023

Revised: July 21, 2023

Accepted: July 31, 2023

Article in press: July 31, 2023

Published online: August 26, 2023



Daisuke Usuda, Masashi Kato, Yuto Sugawara, Runa Shimizu, Tomotari Inami, Shiho Tsuge, Riki Sakurai, Kenji Kawai, Shun Matsubara, Risa Tanaka, Makoto Suzuki, Shintaro Shimozaawa, Yuta Hotchi, Ippei Osugi, Risa Katou, Sakurako Ito, Kentaro Mishima, Akihiko Kondo, Keiko Mizuno, Hiroki Takami, Jiro Oba, Tomohisa Nomura, Manabu Sugita, Emergency and Critical Care Medicine, Juntendo University Nerima Hospital, Nerima 177-8521, Tokyo, Japan

Nobuyoshi Kaminishi, Clinical Training Center, Juntendo University Nerima Hospital, Nerima 177-8521, Tokyo, Japan

Takayuki Komatsu, Sports Medicine, Juntendo University, Bunkyo 113-8421, Tokyo, Japan

Corresponding author: Daisuke Usuda, MD, MSc, PhD, Associate Professor, Doctor, Senior Lecturer, Emergency and Critical Care Medicine, Juntendo University Nerima Hospital, 3-1-10, Takanodai, Nerima 177-8521, Tokyo, Japan. d.usuda.qa@juntendo.ac.jp

Abstract

BACKGROUND

Penoscrotal constriction devices are either used as autoerotic stimuli or to increase sexual pleasure or performance by maintaining an erection for a longer period, and a variety of metallic and non-metallic objects are used. On the other hand, penile strangulation is a rare urologic emergency that requires prompt evaluation and intervention to prevent long-term complications. The goal of treating penile incarceration is to remove the foreign object as soon as possible. On the other hand, removal can be very challenging, and often requires resourcefulness and a multidisciplinary approach.

CASE SUMMARY

A 47-year-old man who has sex with men was transferred to our hospital for persistent phallodynia and scrotal pain, accompanying swelling due to strangulation by stainless steel rings. His medical history included acquired immunodeficiency syndrome. One day prior, he had put three stainless steel rings on his penis and scrotum before sexual intercourse. After sexual intercourse, he was unable to remove them, due to swelling of his penis and scrotum. The swelling persisted, and he felt pain in the affected area the next day, then he was transferred to our hospital by ambulance. The emergency department found that his penis and

scrotum were markedly engorged and swollen. We established a diagnosis of penile and scrotal strangulation by stainless steel rings. We unsuccessfully attempted to cut the rings using a cutter, then requested a rescue team *via* emergency medical service. They cut through each ring in two places, using an electric-powered angle grinder, and successfully removed all of the pieces. Finally, he was discharged and went home.

CONCLUSION

We report the first case of penile and scrotal strangulation by stainless steel rings in an human immunodeficiency virus positive person.

Key Words: Penile strangulation; Stainless steel ring; Human immunodeficiency virus; Urologic emergency; Management; Case report

©The Author(s) 2023. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core Tip: Penoscrotal constriction devices are either used as autoerotic stimuli or to increase sexual pleasure or performance by maintaining an erection for a longer period, and a variety of metallic and non-metallic objects are used. On the other hand, penile strangulation is a rare urologic emergency that requires prompt evaluation and intervention to prevent long-term complications. The goal of treating penile incarceration is to remove the foreign object as soon as possible. On the other hand, removal can be very challenging, and often requires resourcefulness and a multidisciplinary approach. Here, we report the first case of penile and scrotal strangulation by stainless steel rings in an Human immunodeficiency virus-positive person.

Citation: Usuda D, Kaminishi N, Kato M, Sugawara Y, Shimizu R, Inami T, Tsuge S, Sakurai R, Kawai K, Matsubara S, Tanaka R, Suzuki M, Shimozaawa S, Hotchi Y, Osugi I, Katou R, Ito S, Mishima K, Kondo A, Mizuno K, Takami H, Komatsu T, Oba J, Nomura T, Sugita M. Penile and scrotal strangulation by stainless steel rings in an human immunodeficiency virus positive man: A case report. *World J Clin Cases* 2023; 11(24): 5811-5816

URL: <https://www.wjgnet.com/2307-8960/full/v11/i24/5811.htm>

DOI: <https://dx.doi.org/10.12998/wjcc.v11.i24.5811>

INTRODUCTION

Penoscrotal constriction devices are ordinarily used either to increase sexual performance or pleasure, or as an autoerotic stimulus, by helping the wearer to maintain an erection for longer[1-3]. These devices comprise various objects, both metallic and non-metallic[2,4]. However, there are potentially dangerous results that can come from constricting the penis with objects for autoerotic purposes[4]. Though uncommon, penile strangulation represents a urologic emergency, necessitating prompt evaluation and intervention in order to avoid long-term complications[2,3,5-8]. Among these, penile strangulation with concomitant scrotal entrapment by a steel ring is an extremely rare urological emergency, requiring immediate medical intervention[1]. Strangulation has been reported with such varied objects as hair tourniquets, plastic rings, and steel rings[7]. To date, there has been no report of penile strangulation by stainless steel rings in a human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)-positive man; we therefore report this case, together with a brief review of the literature.

CASE PRESENTATION

Chief complaints

A 47-year-old man was transferred to our hospital for persistent phallosodynia and scrotal pain, accompanying swelling due to penile and scrotal strangulation by stainless steel rings.

History of present illness

One day prior, he had put three stainless steel rings (one 8 mm thick with a 45 mm inside diameter, weighing 64 g; one 7 mm thick with a 45 mm inside diameter, weighing 37 g; and one 5 mm thick with a 45 mm inside diameter, weighing 24 g) on his penis and scrotum before sexual intercourse. After sexual intercourse, he was unable to remove them, due to swelling of his penis and scrotum. The swelling persisted, and he felt pain in the affected area the next day. He went to a clinic to request help, but the physician was unable to remove them. His pain and swelling persisted, and he called an ambulance and was transferred to our hospital.

History of past illness

His medical history included acquired immunodeficiency syndrome, treated with one tablet daily of bictegravir sodium

emtricitabine tenofovir alafenamide fumarate, with the following data as measured two weeks prior: HIV-1 ribonucleic acid (RNA) was below the lower detection threshold, with CD4⁺ T lymphocyte readings of 421/mm³.

Personal and family history

The patient was a single man who has sex with men. The patient did not have any allergies. The patient also did not have any family histories.

Physical examination

The patient was 177 cm tall and weighed 72 kg (body mass index: 23.0). Upon arrival at the emergency department (ED), his vital signs were abnormal: Blood pressure was 170/109 mmHg, heart rate was 85 regular beats/min, body temperature was 36.7°C, oxygen saturation was 97% in room air, respiratory rate was 14/min, and his Glasgow Coma Scale score was 15 points (E4V5M6). A physical examination revealed that his penis and scrotum were markedly engorged and swollen (Figure 1). On the other hand, nothing else abnormal was detected upon physical examination, including skin and neurological findings.

Laboratory examinations

No laboratory examinations was performed.

Imaging examinations

No imaging examinations was performed.

FINAL DIAGNOSIS

At this point, we established a diagnosis of penile and scrotal strangulation by stainless steel rings.

TREATMENT

We initially tried to cut the rings using a cutter, but ultimately failed. We then requested a rescue team *via* emergency medical service. They immediately came to our hospital and cut through each ring in two places, using an electric-powered angle grinder, and successfully removed all of the pieces; the procedure took 50 minutes, and the duration from putting the rings on to cutting them off was 64 h (Videos 1 and 2).

OUTCOME AND FOLLOW-UP

After cutting, red sores were found where the rings were attached, but we determined that no intervention was necessary (Figure 2). Finally, he was discharged and went home without any prescriptions. We didn't follow-up this patient.

DISCUSSION

Penile strangulation with concomitant scrotal entrapment by steel rings is an exceedingly rare urological emergency, necessitating immediate intervention[1]. In addition, the patient was HIV-positive, which should be regarded as cause for extreme caution. We present the first case of penile and scrotal strangulation by stainless steel rings in an HIV-positive man. Consequently, in scientific arena, there is value in reporting this event.

Regarding the epidemiology of penile strangulation, there exists a Spanish study of penile strangulation by rings, in which the mean subject age was 45.4 years old (range: 24-82); the rings were metallic in 84% of cases and non-metallic in 12%; the reason for attaching the strangulating ring was prolonged sexual activity in 36% of cases, masturbation in 12% of cases, due to a psychiatric disease in 12% of cases, due to alcoholism in 8% of cases, and due to accidents and/or incontinence in 8% of cases[5]. The evolution time of strangulation ranged from 2 h to 14 days, with a median mean time of 51 h[5]. The rings were cut using shears in 34.7% of cases and with a saw in 17.3% of cases, and the urologist involved did not require collaboration in 68% of cases[5]. Another study was done in the United States to determine patterns in penis-ring-related injuries treated at EDs: 49.8% of the patients were ages 30-49, and the most common types of injuries they presented with were ring(s) stuck on the penis or scrotum (75.3% of cases), edema (29.9%), pain (18.7%), and contusion or abrasion (7.6%)[9]. In an estimated 81.9% of these injuries, the patient was treated or examined at the ED, then sent home[9]. We also found a case of penile strangulation by a metal ring affecting an 81-year-old Japanese man, whose stated intent was to control sex drive[10].

Regarding the mechanism, strangulation using one of these devices results in penile vascular congestion, causing swelling and, eventually, gangrene of the penis distal to the constricting device[7]. In this case, the patient put on three stainless steel rings, making it easy for strangulation to occur.



DOI: 10.12998/wjcc.v11.i24.5811 Copyright ©The Author(s) 2023.

Figure 1 In the emergency department. A: View from the right side of the patient. His penis and scrotum were markedly engorged and swollen due to three stainless steel rings; B: View from below. His penis and scrotum were markedly engorged and swollen due to three stainless steel rings.



DOI: 10.12998/wjcc.v11.i24.5811 Copyright ©The Author(s) 2023.

Figure 2 After cutting the three stainless steel rings. A: Each ring was cut in two locations, using an electric-powered angle grinder, and successfully removed from the patient; B: Red sores were found where the rings were attached (arrows).

The goal of treating penile incarceration with a circular foreign body is to remove the foreign object as soon as possible, and to avoid incurring any secondary damage[11]. In many cases, rapid intervention and removal of the foreign body is sufficient for patients to need no further intervention[8]. However, removing these devices can be very challenging, and often requires resourcefulness and a multidisciplinary approach, particularly when removing steel rings[1,4,8]. The clamping and cutting methods are non-invasive, fast, and effective, and result in few complications, suggesting that they could be applied for penile strangulation treatment at all levels[11]. However, clamping could prove insufficient for a hard metal ring, and because the cutting method takes longer, it could increase the risk of unnecessary harm to the penile skin, urethra, and cavernous body[11]. Other options include prepuce edema decompression and the thin tube-coiling method, which have the advantages of minimal invasiveness, simple operation, and no need for special tools, making them suitable for penile strangulation injuries, but they can also cause penile skin injuries and potentially even postoperative erectile dysfunction[11]. Furthermore, though an invasive procedure, surgical resection is an option in cases of severe penile strangulation[11]. Section of a metal ring requires precision instruments[5]. If local equipment proves inadequate for urgent penile ring removal, collaboration between traumatologists, firefighters, and/or rescue team members is recommended[5,6,12]. In our case, the rings were removed using an orthopedic bolt cutter to cut each ring in two places, with a malleable retractor as a “backing” to prevent any iatrogenic injuries to the penis[7]. The fire department assisted, using an electric-powered angle grinder to facilitate removal of the ring, because standard medical equipment (orthopedic saws, and bolt and bone cutters) had proven inadequate[3]. In addition, under emergency conditions, a dental handpiece can prove useful as a tool for the removal of a strangulating penile ring[13].

Penile incarceration has the potential to develop into severe clinical consequences, and any delay could cause irreversible complications to arise[1,8]. In addition, the development and management of necrotizing cellulitis, following use of a penile constriction ring, has been described[14]. Serious injuries of this sort can prove highly distressing to patients, and many cases necessitate focused counseling in order to address cosmetic-outcome-related concerns[14]. In this case, a multidisciplinary approach was required, which involved requesting a rescue team, as well as using an electric-powered angle grinder to cut and remove the rings. Fortunately, owing to a rapid multidisciplinary approach and

management, we were able to remove the rings with no complications.

For healthcare personnel, biohazard accidents represent a significant potential health risk[15]. Some of the most common occupational accidents at hospitals are healthcare worker injuries caused by sharp instruments[16]. The instruments used can potentially be contaminated with blood, and could therefore present a risk of infection with bloodborne pathogens, including hepatitis B, hepatitis C, and HIV[15,16]. More specifically, the most common medical workplace risks are believed to be from exposure to blood splashes and to needle stick injuries[17]. According to a World Health Organization report, over 59 million healthcare workers worldwide are exposed to biological hazards, and roughly 10% of cases of HIV in healthcare workers are caused by needle stick injuries[17]. For this reason, healthcare workers should all be aware of how to manage needle stick injuries[16]. For example, in the event of a needle stick injury, immediate medical intervention such as rinsing and disinfection the wound and/or skin contamination is necessary, but it is also crucial to promptly determine immune status, and, when appropriate, follow up with postexposure prophylaxis [16]. In this context, “preventive measures” include both reducing the number of needle stick injuries through improved work organization and by using needle devices that have safety features, as well as reducing the risk of infections by wearing safety gloves[16]. In this case, the patient’s HIV-1 RNA was below the lower detection threshold, meaning that the transmission risk was extremely low even in the event that a healthcare worker were to be injured by a sharp instrument during management of the patient. Nonetheless, health workers cannot be too careful when it comes to preventing occupational infections.

This case study has a limitation: It only reviews a single case report and case series of penile strangulation by rings. Therefore, the actual situation and nature of the disease may differ from the results of the literature review, as a result of reporting bias. Additional studies are needed to further evaluate the impact of other materials on clinical presentation, treatment patterns, and outcomes of penile strangulation. In addition, to better understand this rare case, we should have performed a follow-up with this patient.

CONCLUSION

We present the first case of penile and scrotal strangulation by stainless steel rings in an HIV-positive man. Penile incarceration is a urologic emergency with potentially severe clinical consequences, and any delay could lead to irreversible complications. Therefore, the goal of treating penile incarceration is to remove the foreign object as soon as possible. However, removal can be very challenging, and often requires resourcefulness and a multidisciplinary approach.

FOOTNOTES

Author contributions: Usuda D wrote the manuscript; All authors; Kaminishi N, Kato M, Sugawara Y, Shimizu R, Inami T, Tsuge S, Sakurai R, Kawai K, Matsubara S, Tanaka R, Suzuki M, Takano H, Hotchi Y, Shimozaawa S, Tokunaga S, Osugi I, Katou R, Ito S, Mishima K, Kondo A, Mizuno K, Takami H, Komatsu T, Oba J, Nomura T, and Sugita M proofread and revised the manuscript; All authors approved the final version to be published.

Informed consent statement: Written informed consent was obtained from the patient for publication of this case report and any accompanying images. Both written and verbal informed consent were obtained from the patient for publication of this case report and any accompanying images.

Conflict-of-interest statement: All the authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

CARE Checklist (2016) statement: The authors read the CARE Checklist (2016), and the manuscript was prepared and revised according to the CARE Checklist (2016).

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>

Country/Territory of origin: Japan

ORCID number: Daisuke Usuda 0000-0002-0059-4035; Nobuyoshi Kaminishi 0009-0004-8465-6185; Masashi Kato 0009-0007-4531-0773; Yuto Sugawara 0009-0003-4961-656X; Runa Shimizu 0009-0006-1620-4181; Tomotari Inami 0009-0002-0137-981X; Shiho Tsuge 0000-0001-7615-3319; Riki Sakurai 0000-0001-6200-315X; Kenji Kawai 0000-0002-7013-1351; Shun Matsubara 0000-0001-8327-1057; Risa Tanaka 0000-0002-1149-5438; Makoto Suzuki 0000-0002-1012-6753; Shintaro Shimozaawa 0000-0001-6155-0039; Yuta Hotchi 0000-0002-5576-2956; Ippei Osugi 0000-0003-4719-6373; Risa Katou 0000-0001-5231-7438; Sakurako Ito 0000-0001-5477-0551; Kentaro Mishima 0000-0001-8674-8148; Akihiko Kondo 0000-0002-3709-8000; Keiko Mizuno 0000-0002-6326-6872; Hiroki Takami 0000-0003-2955-3752; Takayuki Komatsu 0000-0002-8730-2081; Jiro Oba 0000-0001-8473-8771; Tomohisa Nomura 0000-0001-5632-2584; Manabu Sugita 0000-0002-1956-9286.

S-Editor: Liu JH

L-Editor: A

REFERENCES

- 1 Pop DA, Stanciu BI, Moisoiu T, Burghilea D, Elec FI. Angle grinder as surgical tool for incarcerated penoscrotal steel ring. *Pan Afr Med J* 2020; **37**: 91 [PMID: 33425124 DOI: 10.11604/pamj.2020.37.91.20784]
- 2 Damé ID, Diallo I, Ndiaye M, Beye M, Ndour DS, Hafing T, Faye ST, Welle IB, Sow Y, Fall B. [Penile strangulation: about two patients living in rural areas]. *Pan Afr Med J* 2018; **30**: 128 [PMID: 30374374 DOI: 10.11604/pamj.2018.30.128.14362]
- 3 Nason GJ, Abdelsadek AH, Foran AT, O'Malley KJ. Multidisciplinary emergent removal of a metal penoscrotal constriction device. *Ir Med J* 2017; **110**: 536 [PMID: 28657249]
- 4 Mansoor M. Penile Incarceration by Metallic Ring. *J Coll Physicians Surg Pak* 2017; **27**: 108-109 [PMID: 28292390]
- 5 Romero Pérez P, Amat Cecilia M, Merenciano Cortina FJ, Polo Hernández R, Baldissera Aradas JV, Ferrero Doria R. [Penile strangulation by rings. review of the spanish series and contribution of 2 cases.]. *Arch Esp Urol* 2022; **75**: 262-273 [PMID: 35435170]
- 6 Walters U, Gresty H, El-Husseiny T. Engagement of Other Emergency Services in the Management of Penile Ring Entrapment. *Urology* 2021; **152**: 10-11 [PMID: 33621586 DOI: 10.1016/j.urology.2021.02.015]
- 7 Weinstein CS, Sun N, Maffucci F, Winer A. Removal of a Steel Ring Causing Penile Strangulation Without the Use of Powered Tools or Sharp Blades. *Urology* 2021; **148**: e15-e16 [PMID: 33186579 DOI: 10.1016/j.urology.2020.10.042]
- 8 Dell'Atti L. Penile strangulation: an unusual sexual practice that often presents an urological emergency. *Arch Ital Urol Androl* 2014; **86**: 43 [PMID: 24704937 DOI: 10.4081/aiua.2014.1.43]
- 9 Forrester MB. Penis Ring Injuries Treated at Emergency Departments. *J Sex Marital Ther* 2022; **48**: 103-111 [PMID: 33734034 DOI: 10.1080/0092623X.2021.1900003]
- 10 Goto S, Kobori G, Moroi S. [A case of strangulation of the penis with difficult release]. *Hinyokika Kiyo* 2015; **61**: 177-180 [PMID: 26037679]
- 11 Ren LJ, Wang YC, Ren AJ, Wang ZQ, Guo K. [Coiling method combined with bloodletting from the corpus cavernosum for penile incarceration with a metal ring: A case report and literature review]. *Zhonghua Nan Ke Xue* 2018; **24**: 152-155 [PMID: 30156076]
- 12 Kawata K, Takahashi Y, Hishida S, Takeuchi S, Ishida K, Nakano M, Taniguchi M. [A Case of Penile Strangulation Due to Metal Rings Released with the Cooperation of a Rescue Team]. *Hinyokika Kiyo* 2022; **68**: 359-363 [PMID: 36458400 DOI: 10.14989/ActaUrolJap_68_11_359]
- 13 Etetafia MO, Nwajei CO. Successful removal of strangulating metal penile ring using a dental handpiece. *BMJ Case Rep* 2014; **2014** [PMID: 25015321 DOI: 10.1136/bcr-2014-205030]
- 14 Krughoff K, Swanton A, Tundo G, Gross MS. Necrotizing Group A Strep Following Use of Penile Constriction Ring. *Urology* 2020; **141**: e45-e46 [PMID: 32305553 DOI: 10.1016/j.urology.2020.04.002]
- 15 Tejada-Pérez JJ, Herrera-Burgos MR, Parrón-Carreño T, Alarcón-Rodríguez R. Biohazard Accidents, Harmful Elements to the Wellness of Healthcare Workers, and Their Risk Factors. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2022; **19** [PMID: 36293794 DOI: 10.3390/ijerph192013214]
- 16 Ochmann U, Wicker S. [Needlestick injuries of healthcare workers]. *Med Klin Intensivmed Notfmed* 2020; **115**: 67-78 [PMID: 31950206 DOI: 10.1007/s00063-019-00651-5]
- 17 Degavi G, Adola SG, Panari H, Pawar S, Dereso CW. Prevalence of occupational exposure to HIV and utilization of HIV post-exposure prophylaxis among health staff at Bule Hora General Hospital, Bule Hora, Ethiopia. *Pan Afr Med J* 2020; **37**: 333 [PMID: 33738021 DOI: 10.11604/pamj.2020.37.333.25680]



Published by **Baishideng Publishing Group Inc**
7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

Telephone: +1-925-3991568

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

Help Desk: <https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk>

<https://www.wjgnet.com>

