

Response to the reviewers

Dear Editor and Reviews:

Thank you for taking time out of your busy schedule to review the manuscript. Now we have carefully corrected and replied to the manuscript for this revision. The revision instructions are as follows:

For the language issues mentioned in the peer review, we received the language-editing services, editing certificate is available. We also have revised manuscript such as references and figures according to the submission guidelines.

We would like to express our great appreciation for comments to our paper, and we have tried our best to revise our manuscript according to the comments. Attached please find the revised version, which we would like to submit for your kind consideration.

Thank you and best regards. Looking forward to hearing from you.

Yours sincerely,

Haijun Lu

lhj82920608@163.com

Question 1: To improve the manuscript, the author should ensure that the references cited in the introduction and related work section are thoroughly addressed in the reference section.

Answer: Thank you for your valuable opinion. We checked and added the references carefully to ensure the related work were addressed thoroughly and accurately. Please see the highlights in the revised version for details.

Question 2: Additionally, the introduction should provide an extended version of the abstract, with elaboration on the key points and supportive ideas and references.

Answer: It is an attentive comment. We have revised the introduction to provide a more detailed background to this article. We briefly introduced this type of tumor firstly, and propose the difficulties encountered in clinical practice of SDSC, which leads to the highlight of this article: the attempt of immunotherapy in SDSC through two cases.

Question: Lastly, the conclusion section needs revision to provide a more insightful and comprehensive summary of the manuscript.

Answer:
Thank you for your valuable feedback. We understand the need for a more insightful and comprehensive conclusion for the manuscript. We will revise the conclusion section to encapsulate the key findings, clinical implications, and proposed future directions more effectively. We appreciate your time and efforts in reviewing our work and providing us with constructive suggestions.

Question: Finally, the author should ensure that all references are properly formatted according to the relevant rules.

Answer:

Thank you for your valuable revision comments. We have modified the format of the references according to the author's guidelines.

Question: Abbreviations

Answer: Thank you for your help. According to the rules on abbreviations, we've made the following changes. 1. We shorten the running title from "A case report of SDSC with immunotherapy" to "Case of immunotherapy attempt in SDSC". 2. We change the titles of Figure 7 from "Selected publications further studied SDSC" to "Selected publications further studied SMARCB1-deficient sinonasal carcinoma". Furthermore, SMARCB is a name of gene, we had checked the literatures and did not make any changes.

Question: Editorial office's comments

Answer: According to the comments from editorial office, we received the language-editing services, editing certificate is available. And we prepared and provided the original figure documents using PowerPoint and indicated the copyright.