

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hepatology
Manuscript NO: 37102
Title: Glycogenic Hepatopathy: A Narrative Review
Reviewer's code: 03460306
Reviewer's country: Japan
Science editor: Jin-Xin Kong
Date sent for review: 2017-11-12
Date reviewed: 2017-11-13
Review time: 1 Day

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a narrative review regarding glycogenic hepatopathy. The manuscript is written concisely and easily to follow. Recognition of this relatively rare condition generally seen in patients with type 1 diabetes is clinically meaningful. 1. The abstract should be included in the manuscript. 2. Search terms and names of the searched database should be described. 3. Tables 1 and 2 can be combined.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hepatology
Manuscript NO: 37102
Title: Glycogenic Hepatopathy: A Narrative Review
Reviewer's code: 00506363
Reviewer's country: United States
Science editor: Jin-Xin Kong
Date sent for review: 2017-11-12
Date reviewed: 2017-11-16
Review time: 4 Days

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Overall, this is a thorough review of glycogenic hepatopathy and the differential diagnosis. However, there are many grammatical errors that need to be corrected before publishing. For example, abbreviations are not consistent. In the introduction, you use NAFL in one sentence, then NAFLD in another, and then you still continue to spell out non-alcoholic fatty liver disease in the next section. Same issue with "anorexia nervosa". The abbreviation "AIH" is used but I didn't notice that spelled out prior to use. Also, GH is used throughout the paper, but then in the "short-term high dose steroid therapy" section, it's written out as glycogenic hepatopathy instead of using the abbreviation. None of the abbreviations used in the abstract are designated before use. The subtitles are also inconsistent. Sometimes, all words are capitalized, and sometimes only the first word is. Sometimes a colon is after the subtitle, sometimes a semicolon, and sometimes nothing. The manuscript needs to copy-edited for other

grammatical errors, including the abstract, which are too many for me to list here. Otherwise, it is a decent review of this rare disease that will hopefully help clinicians in their work-up of diabetic patients with liver disease.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hepatology

Manuscript NO: 37102

Title: Glycogenic Hepatopathy: A Narrative Review

Reviewer's code: 02544751

Reviewer's country: Slovakia

Science editor: Jin-Xin Kong

Date sent for review: 2017-11-12

Date reviewed: 2017-11-17

Review time: 5 Days

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Recension of manuscript No. 37102: „Glycogenic Hepatopathy: A Narrative Review written by Jagannath M Sherigar, Joline de Castro, Debra Guss, Yong Mei Yin and Smruti R Mohanty in World Journal of Hepatology. The structure of manuscript is in keeping with the common required criteria. The topic of the work is very actually. Glycogenic hepatopathy is a rare clinical condition that develops due to excessive accumulation of glycogen in the hepatocytes predominantly seen in pediatric patients and young adults with poorly controlled type 1 diabetes mellitus and rarely observed in a patient with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Glycogenic hepatopathy is characterized by transient liver dysfunction with elevated liver enzymes and associated hepatomegaly caused by a reversible accumulation of excess glycogen in hepatocytes. The collection of glycogen seen on a liver biopsy is critical for the diagnosis. It is an underrecognized entity, and awareness of this clinical condition by clinicians, including

gastroenterologists, is low. The pathophysiology of glycogenic hepatopathy is incompletely understood, and clinical characteristics have not been fully characterized yet. The aim of this paper was to evaluate the glycogenic hepatopathy. The author's briefly reviewed the English literature on pathogenesis involved, recent progress in the evaluation, differential diagnosis, and management. Work is clearly legible, brings summarizes new knowledge. The citations are actual and their format respect usual standards. The conclusion reflects the author's review and these can be accepted. I recommend the manuscript to be published. Kosice, 17. november 2017 MUDr. Jana Katuchova, PhD. Professor of Department of Surgery University Hospital Košice Slovakia

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hepatology
Manuscript NO: 37102
Title: Glycogenic Hepatopathy: A Narrative Review
Reviewer's code: 02946445
Reviewer's country: Turkey
Science editor: Jin-Xin Kong
Date sent for review: 2017-11-12
Date reviewed: 2017-11-19
Review time: 7 Days

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I think, the topic of "figure 1" as "pathogenesis of GH" is wrong. Because that is "steps of glycogenesis", isn't it? It's a very excellent and comprehensive review. I think that the relationship between GH and diabetes is well explained (as much as possible). The frequent association with DKA is a particular issue that needs to be emphasized. I think that the disease should be examined in terms of the mechanisms of DKA formation. In a separate paragraph, this issue should be dealt with in more detail. I also think that there may be an association with glycemic variability (especially brittle diabetes). Discrimination from NAFLD needs to be done well, the authors have done this. I think that the data of GH patients should be collected by creating a pool to understand the nature of the disease and to do better research. I think that a similar proposal can be stated in the conclusion of the article.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hepatology

Manuscript NO: 37102

Title: Glycogenic Hepatopathy: A Narrative Review

Reviewer's code: 01800318

Reviewer's country: Greece

Science editor: Jin-Xin Kong

Date sent for review: 2017-11-12

Date reviewed: 2017-11-20

Review time: 8 Days

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The author does an excellent job in describing a condition that is frequently overlooked in clinical practice. This review describes in a comprehensive way every aspect of glycogenic hepatopathy, from pathogenesis to treatment. This article can be of great help in introducing glycogenic hepatopathy to a large number of physicians that ignore its existence.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hepatology

Manuscript NO: 37102

Title: Glycogenic Hepatopathy: A Narrative Review

Reviewer's code: 02841635

Reviewer's country: China

Science editor: Jin-Xin Kong

Date sent for review: 2017-11-12

Date reviewed: 2017-11-25

Review time: 12 Days

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This review summarized clinical features and pathological features of GH, and provided diagnosis and differential diagnosis of GH. This review is of some importance to practitioners in gastroenterology, hepatology and endocrinology. Although the review did not summarize each section in detail, it is enough for a narrative review.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hepatology
Manuscript NO: 37102
Title: Glycogenic Hepatopathy: A Narrative Review
Reviewer's code: 02941504
Reviewer's country: China
Science editor: Jin-Xin Kong
Date sent for review: 2017-11-12
Date reviewed: 2017-11-27
Review time: 15 Days

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a significant review about Glycogenic hepatopathy (GH). There are plentiful contexts, reliable data, comprehensive analysis and understandability languages in this article. Some suggestion was listed as followed, 1. The differential diagnosis part is too simple to differentiate from other common hepatopathies. You need add a table, in the table, you can list many main characters of GH, Hepatitis and NAFLD and so on. 2. It is not found the bar in the figure 3 and 4 3. You can or not add images of GH, hepatitis and other hepatopathies on CT and MRI to differential diagnosis. 4. You can or not add H&E stained pictures to differentiate GH and other hepatopathies.