



Baishideng Publishing Group Co., Limited

Flat C, 23/F., Lucky Plaza,
315-321 Lockhart Road,
Wan Chai, Hong Kong, China

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Ms: 3097

Title: Diabetes Mellitus as a Risk Factor for Pancreatic Cancer

Reviewer code: 00058872

Science editor: x.x.song@wjgnet.com

Date sent for review: 2013-04-08 14:49

Date reviewed: 2013-04-09 20:35

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS:

AA are kindly requested to check the acronym IGT...impaired.....



Baishideng Publishing Group Co., Limited

Flat C, 23/F., Lucky Plaza,
315-321 Lockhart Road,
Wan Chai, Hong Kong, China

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Ms: 3097

Title: Diabetes Mellitus as a Risk Factor for Pancreatic Cancer

Reviewer code: 02446542

Science editor: x.x.song@wjgnet.com

Date sent for review: 2013-04-08 14:49

Date reviewed: 2013-04-12 06:01

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS

CONFIDENTIAL COMMENTS TO EDITOR:

This is a well written manuscript but I think that authors have to present more data about this controversial topic. Anyway, my opinion is that the paper is suitable for publication with the addition of a table presenting the results of the most important studies in this field.

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS:

This paper is well written although the data is a little brief. I suggest to add a table showing the results from the most important studies in this field.



Baishideng Publishing Group Co., Limited

Flat C, 23/F., Lucky Plaza,
315-321 Lockhart Road,
Wan Chai, Hong Kong, China

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Ms: 3097

Title: Diabetes Mellitus as a Risk Factor for Pancreatic Cancer

Reviewer code: 02445056

Science editor: x.x.song@wjgnet.com

Date sent for review: 2013-04-08 14:49

Date reviewed: 2013-04-19 17:46

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS

CONFIDENTIAL COMMENTS TO EDITOR:

Dear Editor, The paper by Pezzilli and Pagano is focused on a very interesting and "old" topic in pancreatology. The analysis of literature is done in an adequate way, but, no new information comes out from this manuscript. The analysis of the literature data is very short and confusing the reader. I think that a more adequate analysis with the help of some tables should add some interest. In other words, I think that major changes should be done before a potential publication. I think the authors should organize the paper in a more rational way, add some tables to resume all the data coming from literature and, in the conclusions, try to give to the readers some perspectives and some conclusions. I really thank you very much for the opportunity to review this paper

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS:

The manuscript "Diabetes Mellitus as a Risk Factor for Pancreatic Cancer" is focused on a very interesting topic. I think the Authors should improve the manuscript, before publication, in some points: 1) The title is not adequate to the text of the manuscript. I think the title should be more a question than a sentence. 2) The volume of literature analyzed by the authors is adequate to a review. Anyway the analysis in the text is not very clear and easy understandable for the readers. The authors should organize the text in a more "rational" way and they should add some tables resuming the data of literature. 3) The conclusions are very synthetic. Even if, as the authors underlined, the problem of the association between diabetes and pancreatic cancer, remains partially open, I think an interesting discussion, even regarding the perspectives of diabetes screening in order to identify early pancreatic lesions, should be done.