

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hematology

ESPS manuscript NO: 29882

Title: Intestinal heme absorption in hemochromatosis gene knock-out mice

Reviewer's code: 00506472

Reviewer's country: Greece

Science editor: Shui Qiu

Date sent for review: 2016-09-01 10:57

Date reviewed: 2016-09-28 03:11

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

It is a very well written manuscript investigating the influence of Hfe mutation on Fe labelled duodenal heme absorption in mice and showing that heme absorption was enhanced from both duodenal tied-loop segments and by oral gavage methods. Please add in the Discussion clinical implication of this finding.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hematology

ESPS manuscript NO: 29882

Title: Intestinal heme absorption in hemochromatosis gene knock-out mice

Reviewer's code: 02445848

Reviewer's country: Italy

Science editor: Shui Qiu

Date sent for review: 2016-09-01 10:57

Date reviewed: 2016-09-30 19:38

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript is well written and easy to read. Results support the conclusions. Authors should add, both in introduction and in the discussion, the relevance of the results in term of possible clinical application. Moreover, since in literature some authors described the relation between hepcidin levels and s-ferritin values it could be interesting to know whether in this study s-ferritin levels have been evaluated as well as analysed in comparison to the other studied variables. A correlation with s-ferritin levels may be much more easily translated into clinical suggestions.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hematology

ESPS manuscript NO: 29882

Title: Intestinal heme absorption in hemochromatosis gene knock-out mice

Reviewer's code: 00607648

Reviewer's country: China

Science editor: Shui Qiu

Date sent for review: 2016-09-01 10:57

Date reviewed: 2016-10-09 10:39

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The content is excellent but there are many mistakes on spacing, spelling etc. It must be checked again before publishing.