

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

ESPS manuscript NO: 27482

Title: Acute appendicitis: epidemiology, treatment and outcomes. Analysis of 16544 consecutive cases.

Reviewer's code: 02803865

Reviewer's country: United States

Science editor: Yuan Qi

Date sent for review: 2016-06-01 08:53

Date reviewed: 2016-06-02 19:55

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors report important data on acute appendicitis. The overall structure of the manuscript is complete. The topic is of major interest. The selection of the cases is correct. The pages of the manuscript have to be numbered. The manuscript has to be revised for medical English: ex add the article "the" before "mean Charlson's comorbidity index", "relapse" (Abstract); simplify/shorten sentences (ex Abstract conclusion, Introduction "Conservative management //", "Conservative treatment //", Discussion: 1st sentence 1st paragraph, 1st sentence 2nd paragraph, Conclusion). Please add the precise differences for gender and age (age categories may be more appropriate than age classes) in the results section. Please add years in the Discussion for "7-25 age" and "14-25". Please briefly note what "negative appendectomy" is. Please check for the use of capital letters for the tables and figures. For Tables 2,3 please change the titles of the columns in order to be self-explanatory: "open", "laparoscopic", "tot" may be too vague. The same for the figures: ex Figure 2, Figure 3 "the years": please add the precise years or reformulate.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

ESPS manuscript NO: 27482

Title: Acute appendicitis: epidemiology, treatment and outcomes. Analysis of 16544 consecutive cases.

Reviewer's code: 02887774

Reviewer's country: Croatia

Science editor: Yuan Qi

Date sent for review: 2016-06-01 08:53

Date reviewed: 2016-06-02 22:00

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear Authors. This is a well-written article with good statistical analysis. Minor grammatical and language corrections are needed. The information provided are of limited usefulness for the readership so I believe it has a low priority for publication.