

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** office@baishideng.com **https:**//www.wjgnet.com

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 89371

Title: Gradient inflammation in the pancreatic stump after pancreaticoduodenectomy:

Two case reports and review of literature

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03475330 **Position:** Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Professor, Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2023-10-29

Reviewer chosen by: Yu-Lu Chen

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-12-12 22:20

Reviewer performed review: 2023-12-12 23:54

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality Good	
[] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish	
Novelty of this manuscript [] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fa	air
Creativity or innovation of [] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fa	air
this manuscript [] Grade D: No creativity or innovation	



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** office@baishideng.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript entitled " Gradient Inflammation in the Pancreatic Stump after Pancreaticoduodenectomy: A Report of Two Cases" has been reviewed. This paper examines the causes of postoperative pancreatic fistula in cases of total pancreatectomy. It is an interesting paper. However, some modifications seem to be necessary. What stains are H and I in Figure 1? How was the normal level of apoptosis defined? How was the ADM formed duct defined? L shows a similar histology when the pancreatic duct is sectioned along the long axis. All of Figure 2 and Figure 3B are difficult for the reader to understand. Please correct them.