

## Editorial-Answer to reviewers

I appreciate your consideration for publication of my editorial by your journal. I also appreciate the attention given by the two reviewers. The following is my point-by-point response to the reviewers.

### Reviewer # 1

I acknowledge the concern regarding acronyms and abbreviations. This is an editorial and I just wanted relative brevity. I made some minor alterations in the content and have a separate abbreviation segment. I added some illustrious photographs that may be inserted as per the journal's discretion.

### Reviewer # 2

I have incorporated a table with cogent advantages and disadvantages of the respective modalities. Hopefully, this will clarify some of the points made in the editorial. I think it is a legitimate inquiry as to my personal experience with these modalities. I have used all these modalities though some only sparingly for hemostasis. The latter would include endoscopic suturing and the polyglycolic matrix. I have a lot of experience with Hemospray and the Coagrasper as well as all the modalities noted for GAVE therapy including hybrid APC. I thus feel qualified to elaborate on this topic.