

## ESPS Peer-review Report

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 5360

**Title:** Is the AIM65 score useful in predicting outcomes in peptic ulcer bleeding?

**Reviewer code:** 00045831

**Science editor:** Ma, Ya-Juan

**Date sent for review:** 2013-09-02 18:05

**Date reviewed:** 2013-09-13 21:05

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The article is well written and the study is conducted appropriately. However, the authors should have compared this AIMS65 score with Blatchford's or Rockall's score . This would have given a better strength to the existing study . This score is well established and validated in the hospitals across USA . However as the authors mentioned, this might vary in the Asian population but still a large group of patients from a multi centric trial across Asia will give a strong evidence to prove this fact.

## ESPS Peer-review Report

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 5360

**Title:** Is the AIM65 score useful in predicting outcomes in peptic ulcer bleeding?

**Reviewer code:** 00057741

**Science editor:** Ma, Ya-Juan

**Date sent for review:** 2013-09-02 18:05

**Date reviewed:** 2013-10-09 15:41

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The study is interesting and well conducted but as the Authors specify "it has certain limitations " (retrospective single-centre study, enrolled of patients and etc.). However despite this limitations it is usefull in clarify the diagnostic difficulties in upper GI tract bleeding.

## ESPS Peer-review Report

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 5360

**Title:** Is the AIM65 score useful in predicting outcomes in peptic ulcer bleeding?

**Reviewer code:** 00057665

**Science editor:** Ma, Ya-Juan

**Date sent for review:** 2013-09-02 18:05

**Date reviewed:** 2013-10-10 03:43

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is an interesting manuscript studying whether a validated score to predict outcomes in patients with upper GI bleeding is useful for patients with bleeding peptic ulcers. Although there are several limitations, acknowledge by the authors, the negative results merit publication