

Thank you for giving us the opportunity to submit a revised draft of the manuscript "Application of laparoscopic surgery in gallbladder carcinoma" for publication in the *World Journal of Clinical Cases*. We appreciate the time and effort that you and the reviewers dedicated to providing feedback on our manuscript and are grateful for the insightful comments on and valuable improvements to our paper. We have incorporated the suggestions made by the reviewers. Those changes are highlighted in the manuscript. At the same time, we have tried our best to polish the language in the revised manuscript. The manuscript has been sent to a professional English language editing company for polishing. A new English Editing Certificate has been provided. We hope that our manuscript could be considered for publication in the *World Journal of Clinical Cases*. Thank you very much for your help.

Reviewer #1:

Congratulations on your manuscript. It is an exhaustive review on the use of laparoscopy in gallbladder cancer. However, it mostly offers a historical perspective on this subject. It could benefit from a summary regarding current recommendations. For example - What do international guidelines endorse? Lastly, you mention robotic surgery and ERAS protocol; it doesn't relate directly to your review (not mentioned in your title) and I find that it worsens the overall quality of your manuscript.

Answer: The recommendations of guidelines have been added to the revised manuscript in the "CURRENT SITUATION AND DEVELOPING TREND" section. At the same time, the content of robotic surgery and ERAS has also been greatly reduced.

Reviewer #2:

The authors have provided a detailed review of the management of gallbladder carcinoma, this is a clinically rare but important topic that requires early diagnosis and subsequent management. It would be imperative

to perhaps tabulate the results from various studies including- the complication rate (perforation), metastases at the site etc. Tabulating the data comparing LC with standard procedure There is a lack of data analysis present-kindly expand this A historical perspective has been included, and further emphasis on clinical management would be needed.

Answer: Thank you for your suggestions. We summarized the data of several existing studies in Table 3 and introduced the recommendations of guidelines in the “CURRENT SITUATION AND DEVELOPING TREND” section.

Reviewer #3:

This review paper is well written, and is informative for journal readers.

Answer: Thank you for your review and recommendation. We have modified the content and polished the language in the revised manuscript.