

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Medical Genetics

ESPS manuscript NO: 14243

Title: Cell mediated Gene Therapy: Guide for the Doctors in the Clinic

Reviewer code: 00742297

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2014-09-28 22:09

Date reviewed: 2014-11-11 00:37

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In this MS, the authors tried to provide a summary update of the current state of knowledge regarding iPS cells. The authors need to ask a native speaker of English with expertise in technical writing to carefully polish the paper. There are many places that have grammar issues, and a lot of revisions are needed for the presentation to make it clear. For example, "... in clinical trials signals the rebound of this technology...", the authors may mean "... in clinical trials signal the rebound of this technology..."

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Medical Genetics

ESPS manuscript NO: 14243

Title: Cell mediated Gene Therapy: Guide for the Doctors in the Clinic

Reviewer code: 02445965

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2014-09-28 22:09

Date reviewed: 2014-10-14 01:57

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The review by Noh et al., describes the uses and issues associated with cell mediated gene therapy (CMGT) approaches. The review is well written and gives not only a good chronology of the development of CMGT and the uses and issues related to the use.