

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 22638

Title: Laparoscopic resection of adult colon duplication causing intussusception

Reviewer's code: 00503824

Reviewer's country: United Kingdom

Science editor: Jing Yu

Date sent for review: 2015-09-16 09:33

Date reviewed: 2015-09-22 07:24

| CLASSIFICATION | LANGUAGE EVALUATION | SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT | CONCLUSION |
|---|---|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing | Google Search: | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> The same title | <input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision |
| | | BPG Search: | |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> The same title | |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication | |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism | |
| | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | |

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Major comments: ? In retrospect, if the condition had been considered, would the CT images have been diagnostic or would there still have been doubt? The authors claim that it is difficult to make a correct diagnosis without knowledge of the disease (stated in the abstract and final paragraph of the discussion). However even if known, could it have been diagnosed pre-operatively? ? The authors claim that laparoscopic resection is the treatment of choice, presumably over open surgery, because of the minimal invasive nature of the procedure. However the patient was discharged 7 days post op which seems rather long for a laparoscopic colonic resection. ? Based on one this one case report, I think that the recommendation of laparoscopic rather than open resection seems a bit strong. ? Core tip: this is a repetition of the abstract and should be shortened. ? Case report: The narrative is rather misleading. The first sentence suggest that the patient had a colonoscopy then other tests including another colonoscopy. Minor comments: ? Figure 1: An arrow is needed in B to show the intussusception. ? Figure 1: There seem to be two other duplicate images that are not labeled. ? Figure 2: an arrow should show the mass

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 22638

Title: Laparoscopic resection of adult colon duplication causing intussusception

Reviewer's code: 03317222

Reviewer's country: Portugal

Science editor: Jing Yu

Date sent for review: 2015-09-16 09:33

Date reviewed: 2015-09-26 02:01

| CLASSIFICATION | LANGUAGE EVALUATION | SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT | CONCLUSION |
|--|--|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing | Google Search: | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> The same title | <input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism | <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision |
| | | BPG Search: | |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> The same title | |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication | |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism | |
| | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | |

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The work presented by the authors is quite interesting and shows a rare case of colon duplication. It is with good English and very well written. It has good literature and great pictures No abstract in the sentence "We descibe here the case ..." the word here should be removed The Core tip, in the sentence "We descibe here the case ..." the word here should be removed In the Introduction, the sentence "We descibe here the case ..." the word here should be removed Very good event, very well written.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 22638

Title: Laparoscopic resection of adult colon duplication causing intussusception

Reviewer's code: 01207047

Reviewer's country: Turkey

Science editor: Jing Yu

Date sent for review: 2015-09-16 09:33

Date reviewed: 2015-10-06 20:50

| CLASSIFICATION | LANGUAGE EVALUATION | SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT | CONCLUSION |
|--|---|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing | Google Search: | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> The same title | <input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good | | <input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision |
| | | BPG Search: | <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> The same title | |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication | |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism | |
| | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | |

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear Editor, Thank you very much for your kind invitation to review this interesting manuscript. ??'s a well written manuscript about adult colon duplication causing intussusception. -In discussion part the authors should add the list and related references of of other submucosal cystic lesions and neoplasms of gastrointestinal system which may cause difficulty in the clinical, endoscopic, and macroscopic differential diagnosis.