

Format for ANSWERING REVIEWERS

August 23, 2017

Dear Editor, Dr. Fang-Fang Ji,
Please find enclosed the edited manuscript in Word format

Title: Pleomorphic Cutaneous Xanthomas Disclosing Homozygous Familial Hypercholesterolemia

Author: Mastrolorenzo A, MD, D'Errico A, MD, Pierotti P, MD, Vannucchi M, MD, Giannini S, MD, Fossi F, MD.

Name of Journal: *World Journal of Dermatology*

Manuscript NO: 35409

The manuscript has been improved according to the suggestions of reviewers:

1 Format has been updated

2 Revision has been made according to the suggestions of the reviewer

(1) Nothing to review.

(2) Right and appropriate consideration. No mutagenic analysis was performed due to the economic difficulties of the patient and the absence of family members on Italian soil. We felt it was unethical to mention this element in the work. Furthermore, adding any detailed mention of the genes responsible for the pathology raises the same redundant question.

(3) Nothing to review.

3 References and typesetting were corrected

Thank you again for publishing our manuscript in the *World Journal of Dermatology*.

Best Regards,
A.Mastrolorenzo

Antonio Mastrolorenzo, MD,
Department of Surgery and Translational Medicine, Section of Dermatology, University of Florence, Public Hospital Piero Palagi Florence.
Viale Michelangiolo 41, 50125 Florence, Italy.
e-mailto:amas@dada.it
Phone: +39 055 6939655. Fax +39 055 6939598

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Dermatology

Manuscript NO: 35409

Title: ~~Cutaneous~~ Xanthomas in Homozygous Familial Hypercholesterolemia

Reviewer's code: 00646537

Reviewer's country: Saudi Arabia

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2017-07-20

Date reviewed: 2017-07-20

*discovery
Pleomorphic cutaneous*

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCON- DUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	Google Search: <input type="checkbox"/> The same title <input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication <input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No BPG Search: <input type="checkbox"/> The same title <input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication <input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept <input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Nothing very novel, but otherwise well written and good images

Thank you for your comments. The language and grammar have been thoroughly checked by a native speaker and certified translator as already stated.

Autour Coutureau

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Dermatology

Manuscript NO: 35409

Title: Cutaneous Xanthomas in Homozygous Familial Hypercholesterolemia

Reviewer's code: 00646503

Reviewer's country: Italy

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2017-07-20

Date reviewed: 2017-07-24

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	Google Search: <input type="checkbox"/> The same title <input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication <input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism <input type="checkbox"/> No BPG Search: <input type="checkbox"/> The same title <input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication <input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept <input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In this manuscript, the authors describe a case report relative to a Homozygous Familial Hypercholesterolemia patient. The paper is scientifically accurate, complete and fully comprehensive to the reader. The reference section is adequate, updated and appropriate to back up the points made in the article. The study conveys a clear-cut message. I have no major comments on this paper. However, I provide a suggestions in order to make the paper more attractive to readers.

The authors argue that "....according to European Atherosclerosis Association Guidelines our patient has met clinical criteria for a definite diagnosis of HoFH, even in the absence of a mutation on genetic testing...". However, I suggest implementing the discussion briefly describing why no mutagenic analysis was performed, focus briefly on the genes responsible for the pathology including the OMIM code.

Right and appropriate consideration. No mutagenic analysis was performed due to patient's economic difficulties and the absence of his direct family on Italian soil. We felt it was unethical to mention this element in the work. Furthermore, adding any detailed mention of the genes responsible for the pathology raises the same redundant question.

Antonio Lombardi

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Dermatology

Manuscript NO: 35409

Title: ~~Cutaneous Xanthomas in~~ Homozygous Familial Hypercholesterolemia

Reviewer's code: 00646464

Reviewer's country: Brazil

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2017-07-20

Date reviewed: 2017-07-28

*d. Schizuf .
Phenotypic Cutaneous*

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCON- DUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	Google Search: <input type="checkbox"/> The same title <input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication <input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism <input type="checkbox"/> No BPG Search: <input type="checkbox"/> The same title <input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication <input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept <input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This paper is complete and complete. All sections are appropriate. There is no particular comments on this paper.

*Thank you
Outstanding Authors*