

Reviewer Comments:

## EDITORIAL OFFICE'S COMMENTS

Authors must revise the manuscript according to the Editorial Office's comments and suggestions, which are listed below:

(1) Science editor: 1 Scientific quality: The manuscript describes a diagnostic and therapeutic norm of MRI for CCAM and BPS. The topic is within the scope of the WJCC. (1) Classification: Grade B; (2) Summary of the Peer-Review Report: The text is relatively well-organized with logical formatting, and it is of appropriate length. This study had comprehensive approach or review about CCAM and BPS in discussion section. However, it would be better to discuss about difference of direction blood vessels within the lesions. The questions raised by the reviewers should be answered;

**Response: Vascular direction has been explained in “*Key points of prenatal MRI on CCAM and BPS*”.**

(3) Format: There are 2 figures. A total of 24 references are cited, including 6 references published in the last 3 years. There are no self-citations.

**Response: Reference 15 has been added.**

2 Language evaluation: Classification: Grade B. A language editing certificate issued by ELIXIGEN was provided. 3 Academic norms and rules: The authors are required to provided the signed Conflict-of-Interest Disclosure Form and Copyright License Agreement. No academic misconduct was found in the CrossCheck detection and Bing search.

**Response: Conflict-of-Interest Disclosure Form and Copyright License Agreement has been uploaded**

4 Supplementary comments: This is an unsolicited manuscript. The study was supported by Huzhou Science and Technology Plan of Zhejiang Province. The topic has not previously been published in the WJCC. The corresponding author has not published articles in the BPG. 5 Issues raised: (1) I found no “Author contribution” section. Please provide the author contributions;

**Response: Author contributions have been added**

(2) I found the authors did not provide the approved grant application form(s). Please upload the approved grant application form(s) or funding agency copy of any approval document(s);

**Response: funding agency have been uploaded**

(3) I found the authors did not provide the original figures. Please provide the original figure documents. Please prepare and arrange the figures using PowerPoint to ensure that all graphs or arrows or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor.

Response: original figures have been uploaded

6 Re-Review: Not required. 7 Recommendation: Conditionally accepted.

Response: Thank you.