

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Clinical Cases

**Manuscript NO:** 59955

**Title:** Usefulness of prenatal MRI examination in the differential diagnosis of fetal congenital cystic adenomatoid malformation and bronchopulmonary sequestration

**Reviewer's code:** 02662861

**Position:** Editorial Board

**Academic degree:** MD, PhD

**Professional title:** Professor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** South Korea

**Author's Country/Territory:** China

**Manuscript submission date:** 2020-10-09

**Reviewer chosen by:** Pan Huang

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2020-11-02 14:21

**Reviewer performed review:** 2020-11-08 14:29

**Review time:** 6 Days

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)  
**https://**[www.wjgnet.com](http://www.wjgnet.com)

#### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

**MAJOR STRENGTHS** 1. The text is relatively well-organized with logical formatting, and it is of appropriate length. 2. This study showed that the pulmonary artery supplying blood for CCAM ran from the midline to the lateral down direction, and the aorta supplying blood for BPS ran from the midline to the lateral up direction. 3. This study had comprehensive approach or review about CCAM and BPS in discussion section. **MAJOR WEAKNESSES** 4. It would be better to discuss about difference of direction blood vessels within the lesions. What's the possible pathophysiology or reason? The readers would be wonder.