

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Surgical Procedures

ESPS manuscript NO: 26810

Title: Surgical outcomes of pulmonary resection for lung cancer after neo-adjuvant treatment

Reviewer's code: 00742507

Reviewer's country: United States

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2016-04-29 14:35

Date reviewed: 2016-05-02 23:25

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
[Y] Grade A: Excellent	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	[Y] Accept
[] Grade B: Very good	[] Grade B: Minor language polishing	[] The same title	[] High priority for publication
[] Grade C: Good	[] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	[] Duplicate publication	[] Rejection
[] Grade D: Fair	[] Grade D: Rejected	[Y] No	[] Minor revision
[] Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	[] Major revision
		[] The same title	
		[] Duplicate publication	
		[] Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Well-prepared and discussed review on affects of treatment and/or surgery of lung cancer. While it is hard to believe that similar studies were not performed in past, particularly considering the wide occurrence of this type of tumor, the results are interesting and clinically important.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Surgical Procedures

ESPS manuscript NO: 26810

Title: Surgical outcomes of pulmonary resection for lung cancer after neo-adjuvant treatment

Reviewer's code: 00504024

Reviewer's country: China

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2016-04-29 14:35

Date reviewed: 2016-05-19 15:42

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This manuscript is we reviewed.