

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 19001

Title: Eicosanoid pathway in colorectal cancer: recent updates

Reviewer's code: 03017495

Reviewer's country: Japan

Science editor: Jing Yu

Date sent for review: 2015-05-06 14:00

Date reviewed: 2015-05-19 22:26

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a well written account of eicosanoid pathway in colorectal cancer. However, the contents may be too wide-ranging, and lose focus. Thus the reviewer suggests that the manuscript should be shortened, concentrating on colorectal cancer.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 19001

Title: Eicosanoid pathway in colorectal cancer: recent updates

Reviewer's code: 03270846

Reviewer's country: Turkey

Science editor: Jing Yu

Date sent for review: 2015-05-06 14:00

Date reviewed: 2015-05-20 20:19

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Thank you for the opportunity to review your fine manuscript. This is a very interesting manuscript which defines agents that are related to promotion and prevention of colorectal cancer. "Eicosanoids and colorectal cancer" is a topic of interest to all oncologists, biologists, chemists. I am not an expert in the use of eicosanoids pathways, but the authors nicely address the various components and offer a welcome refresher course in how the Eicosanoids had a role in the development of CRC. At this point; I have recommendations improving this manuscript: ? The manuscript is excessively long; it can be shortened because this is a limitation for readability of the study, but it is not a fatal flaw. ? Purpose of the study was stated, it was inadequate. But it should have purpose and objectives stated. ? In the conclusions section; the "so what?" question has not been answered adequately. ? It should be emphasized what it has been adding to the literature already known and what new contribution this new information has been making to the practice. ? The references (at the end of the text and in the text) should be reorganized in terms of the number and citation in the text. For example, you can see few mistakes in the text. The number of references can be reduced. In general, this is a well-conducted and appropriately written manuscript. The authors identify the need for



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

increased knowledge about bioactive lipids in the prevention of CRC. The eicosanoid pathway in colorectal cancer is neither novel nor controversial, but the authors have done an excellent job of working with the knowledge presented.