

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 85791

Title: Blood purification for treatment of non-liquefied multiple liver abscesses and

improvement of T-cell function: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 04611317 Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, MSc, PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor, Chief Physician

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Thailand

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2023-05-31

Reviewer chosen by: Geng-Long Liu

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-06-22 04:00

Reviewer performed review: 2023-07-03 04:56

Review time: 11 Days

	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C:
Scientific quality	Good
	[] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [Y] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [Y] Grade C: Fair
this manuscript	[] Grade D: No creativity or innovation



Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [Y] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The title should not use the abbreviation. The abstract needed to be modified so that it was too long. In the coretip and the body texts, the abbreviations should be used after the full names. The authors mention Figures A, B, and C, but there is only Figure 1. Please check again. These sentences "The patient who received broad-spectrum antibiotics within 48 hours of hospital admission did not demonstrate improvement. However, a significant improvement was observed during a five-day period of CRRT in conjunction with HP treatment. After a mere 48 hours of concurrent CRRT and HP intervention, the patient's infection was effectively controlled. The white blood cells were reduced from 13.2 to 4.0 (Figure A), and PCT levels decreased from 112.03 to 8.43 and continued to decrease with treatment (Figure B). Significant decreases in inflammatory parameters were observed, with interleukin-6 levels decreasing from 525.2 to 82.2 (Figure C). We needed scientific evidence because the effects of antibiotics may last for 5–10 days. The reference format is not correct. The English grammar needed to be substantially polished.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 85791

Title: Blood purification for treatment of non-liquefied multiple liver abscesses and

improvement of T-cell function: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 02845080 Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: DNB, FICS, FRCS (Gen Surg), MBBS, MMed, MNAMS, MS

Professional title: Associate Professor, Director, Surgical Oncologist

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Singapore

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2023-05-31

Reviewer chosen by: Geng-Long Liu

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-07-09 12:12

Reviewer performed review: 2023-07-09 12:43

Review time: 1 Hour

	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C:
Scientific quality	Good
	[] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair
this manuscript	[] Grade D: No creativity or innovation



Baishideng

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [Y] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [Y] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I read with interest the case report of a female patient with AKI and septic shock secondary to non liquefied PLA that was not amenable for percutaneous drainage. CRRT seems to have resolved AKI and helped restore CD8 T cell function and patient recovered well. The case is in general well written and details given are relevant. The graphs and figures are self explanatory of the patient trajectory over the hospital stay and the citations mentioned are relevant and current. I have minor comments to authors for them to consider 1. The "liver pain" should be replaced with right upper abdominal pain 2. Oral amoxicillin to control blood sugar is an error in past history. Correct it. 3. Unconscious patient generally cannot be irritable. So rephrase it. May have involuntary movements while in coma. 4. What is negative mobile turbid sounds? I am unclear what it means. 5. No Babinski sign (-) is error. Babinski sign negative. 6. The patient seems to be in septic shock and organ dysfunction - but the lactate is normal. Please explain why it can be so? 7. It sounds an overkill to me to give empirical imipenem and linezolid. Generally if cultures are pending, one can give empirical antibiotics based on local antibiogram. Is the choice based on local policy and is it supported by local data?



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

Please give details and rationalise this combination. 8. What liver protective drugs were given to patient? In general best medicine for liver is not to give any drug! 9. Submit a CT scan image and also an ultrasound image if you have those available. In image mark arrows to show pathology and describe how one can comment if abscess is solid or liquid based on the images. This is important feature. 10. Please tell what "gram negative bacilli" were isolated. Name of bacteria? Its sensitivity pattern. 11. Based on the report, we cannot conclude that patient improved only because of CRRT, but she improved due to combination of entire care and treatment that you gave. Multimodal or bundle of care was what that improved the patient and not single element of care. PMID: 26033361 covers the care bundle philosophy. 12. Discussion section - if disease is not controlled death rate 30% is wrong - if disease is uncontrolled death rate would be 100%. So rephrase this as despite good care, mortality remains high. But this is about 11-14% and not 30%. PMID: 27733320 Thanks



RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 85791

Title: Blood purification for treatment of non-liquefied multiple liver abscesses and

improvement of T-cell function: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 02845080 Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: DNB, FICS, FRCS (Gen Surg), MBBS, MMed, MNAMS, MS

Professional title: Associate Professor, Director, Surgical Oncologist

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Singapore

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2023-05-31

Reviewer chosen by: Cong Lin

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-08-07 02:55

Reviewer performed review: 2023-08-07 07:31

Review time: 4 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous



statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

nil