

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 19580

**Title:** LIVER FIBROSIS IN HIV/HCV COINFECTION: DIAGNOSTIC METHODS AND CLINICAL IMPACT

**Reviewer's code:** 03434021

**Reviewer's country:** Turkey

**Science editor:** Jing Yu

**Date sent for review:** 2015-05-15 14:23

**Date reviewed:** 2015-06-03 04:10

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I have reviewed article entitled "LIVER FIBROSIS IN HIV/HCV COINFECTION: DIAGNOSTIC METHODS AND CLINICAL IMPACT" written by Sagnelli et al. It is well written article but needs some minor language polishing. I think it can be considered for acceptance in this journal

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 19580

**Title:** LIVER FIBROSIS IN HIV/HCV COINFECTION: DIAGNOSTIC METHODS AND CLINICAL IMPACT

**Reviewer's code:** 02860895

**Reviewer's country:** Japan

**Science editor:** Jing Yu

**Date sent for review:** 2015-05-15 14:23

**Date reviewed:** 2015-06-14 20:54

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This review article, written by Caterina et al, highlights a current trend of minimal invasive tests for estimating the severity of liver fibrosis. However, significance of information obtained from liver biopsy is thought to be unchanged, even in the future. I believe that the authors should describe also improvement of the safety and certainty of biopsy. The following articles may be useful. 1) Ble M, et al. Transjugular liver biopsy. Clin Liver Dis 2014;18:767-78. 2) Komemushi A, et al. Evaluation of an aspiration-type semiautomatic cutting biopsy needle. Minim Invasive Ther Allied Technol 2015:1-3