

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 3931

Title: Single-incision versus three-incision laparoscopic cholecystectomy for complicated and uncomplicated acute cholecystitis

Reviewer code: 00057695

Science editor: Zhai, Huan-Huan

Date sent for review: 2013-06-03 19:31

Date reviewed: 2013-06-08 16:11

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Y] Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Y] Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Y] Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Comments to Authors: I agree this could be the largest series of SILC in acute cholecystitis. I have the following comments: 1. In Materials and Methods: end of 1st Paragraph: Sentences "Fifty patients with gangrenous cholecystitis, as the uncomplicated group." And "Twenty-three patients (46%) others underwent 3ILC." should appear in the Result section. 2. Specify the dose of the intramuscular pethidine dose per kilogram of patient body weight. Is it 1 mg per kg? 3. I am not sure about the importance of the postoperative body temperature in this study. It is more related to the inflammatory response to the cholecystitis and not the type of surgical procedure (SILC vs. 3ILC) per se. 4. In the Result section: you have stated that "Eleven patients underwent IOC and the results were all negative". What was the indication for IOC as I take it you perform selective IOC. 5. Also indicate if laparoscopic duct exploration will be performed if the IOC is positive. 6. In the Discussion: What was meant by "Modified laparoscopic procedures for severe cholecystitis"? How would this reduce the conversion rate. 7. The Discussion is lacking full review of literature for published articles on SILC for acute cholecystitis such as: Ikegami T, et al (Asian J Endosc Surg. 2013), Zubaidi (Minim Invasive Surg. 2012), Vemulapalli P, et al. (Int J Surg. 2011), and Barbaros U, et al (Surg Laparosc Endosc Percutan Tech. 2010). Apart from Ref. 11 (Sasaki et al), none of the abovementioned articles were referenced.

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 3931

Title: Single-incision versus three-incision laparoscopic cholecystectomy for complicated and uncomplicated acute cholecystitis

Reviewer code: 00227398

Science editor: Zhai, Huan-Huan

Date sent for review: 2013-06-03 19:31

Date reviewed: 2013-06-05 02:58

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	language polishing	BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The expense (what is the difference in cost?) and longer operating time of SILS for lap cholecystectomy are difficult to justify in most health services around the world. Are the results explainable by the difference proportions of complicated cases done by 3 port versus SILS, which is subject to personal bias of the single surgeon?