

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Dermatology

ESPS manuscript NO: 14495

Title: Review of Allergic Contact Dermatitis: Scratching the Surface

Reviewer's code: 00646491

Reviewer's country: Iran

Science editor: Yue-Li Tian

Date sent for review: 2014-10-08 18:05

Date reviewed: 2014-11-23 16:33

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Minor corrections : Page 2 line 3: type IV delayed hypersensitivity Page 11 line 8: Food and Drug Administration

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Dermatology

ESPS manuscript NO: 14495

Title: Review of Allergic Contact Dermatitis: Scratching the Surface

Reviewer's code: 00646519

Reviewer's country: Mexico

Science editor: Yue-Li Tian

Date sent for review: 2014-10-08 18:05

Date reviewed: 2014-10-22 00:25

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Authors present a review of allergic contact dermatitis", these are my comments: Authors describe in a different way ACD, i.e. Abstract "ACD is a classic example of a type II delayed-type hypersensitivity reaction..." Introduction "In contrast, ACD is an example of type IV delayed-type hypersensitivity..." correct it please. What do the authors mean with "Our current understanding of the pathophysiology of ACD is primarily based on experimental animal models for ACD.[11]," explain it, please, what kind of experimental models and what results were found to be present. "Patients with self-reported history of dermatitis and filaggrin mutations have an increased risk of both irritant and allergic contact dermatitis.[12]", what is the "increased risk? "Management and Conclusion should be shorter and described succinctly.