



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Radiology

Manuscript NO: 41323

Title: New scoring system in assessment of Hoffa’s fat pad synovitis : a comparative study with established scoring systems

Reviewer’s code: 02708249

Reviewer’s country: France

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2018-08-05

Date reviewed: 2018-08-12

Review time: 7 Days

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer’s expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1 Title. OK 2 Abstract. OK 3 Key words. Not included. Please fix 4 Background. More details can be added regarding the availability of MRI compared to other imaging systems (X-rays, etc.) 5 Methods. More details can be added. As mentioned, 42 knees



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

underwent MRI with 1.5T, and 97 knees with 3T might include bias in the obtained results. To avoid difference in MRI examination and a potential impact on the results, correlations can also be studied inside each group. For a better measure, why not to use more than 3 points to measure the thickness of Hoffa's synovitis? 6 Results. OK 7 Discussion. OK 8 Illustrations and tables. Figures 2 and 3 are not that clear. They need more legends. 9 Biostatistics. OK 10 Units. OK 11 References. References 7, 8 and 9 are not so recent as mentioned in the text. Please fix. 12 Quality of manuscript organization and presentation. The manuscript is well written 13 Research methods and reporting. Please check the manuscript to meet the journal guidelines 14 Ethics statements. OK

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No

BPG Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Radiology

Manuscript NO: 41323

Title: New scoring system in assessment of Hoffa’s fat pad synovitis : a comparative study with established scoring systems

Reviewer’s code: 02741591

Reviewer’s country: Egypt

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2018-08-17

Date reviewed: 2018-08-17

Review time: 8 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer’s expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The methodology is lengthy and should be better wrapped up. English language needs polishing. There is over using of the phrases like ‘we’ and ‘our’; better use indirect speech.



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No

BPG Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No