

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 15996

**Title:** Gastric Cancer in Women: A Regional Health-Center Seven Year Retrospective Study.

**Reviewer's code:** 03017749

**Reviewer's country:** China

**Science editor:** Yuan Qi

**Date sent for review:** 2014-12-21 12:47

**Date reviewed:** 2014-12-31 10:42

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	PubMed Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The morbidity of gastric cancer differs from different region, nation, and ethnicity. This article retrospectively reviewed the morbidity character of Gastric cancer at Louisiana State University Health Sciences Center-Shreveport, and compared it with the U.S. national report. It was concluded that the increase in gastric cancer diagnoses among women at LSUHSC-S is significantly higher than U.S. national averages and local geographic and socioeconomic influences may alter gastric cancer disease course. My review comment: 1. This article mainly focused on the epidemiology study of gastric cancer at a local region, so it seems not necessary to combine the laboratory results of Helicobacter pylori (HP) infection into the article, which make the article more confused, even though the differences could be found. 2. It is not proper to include the data of other report in the part of results. 3. Too much abbreviations were used in the abstract, that make the reading more difficult. Some may be eliminated, such as "African Americans (AAs)", which does not appear very often. 4. Some contents, such as "Our findings differed significantly from U.S. national trends, which found that AAFs and WFs had lower risks for GC than their corresponding male counterparts."



## BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

---

should be mentioned in the discussion part, other than in the results. 5. Please notice the limitation of word number which the journal requires.

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 15996

**Title:** Gastric Cancer in Women: A Regional Health-Center Seven Year Retrospective Study.

**Reviewer's code:** 03017762

**Reviewer's country:** Japan

**Science editor:** Yuan Qi

**Date sent for review:** 2014-12-21 12:47

**Date reviewed:** 2014-12-31 11:42

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	PubMed Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The means of this manuscript is unclear. The purpose of this study should be clarified. Discussion is too long, unclear, and the same discussions were repeated. This manuscript of assembly is very poor.

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 15996

**Title:** Gastric Cancer in Women: A Regional Health-Center Seven Year Retrospective Study.

**Reviewer's code:** 02841708

**Reviewer's country:** China

**Science editor:** Yuan Qi

**Date sent for review:** 2014-12-21 12:47

**Date reviewed:** 2014-12-31 22:07

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	PubMed Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors should revise some problems in this study. 1. In this study, the authors stated their findings differed significantly from U.S. national trends. However, the reason was unclear from this paper. The authors should explain this difference. 2. The entry data is suspicious. Were all the gastric cancer patients in hospital African Americans or non-Hispanic whites? Whether there were other ethnic GC patients in this region? If there had been other ethnic GC patients in this region, what were their epidemiological characteristics? 3. The 'Discussion' section was too long, and in this section, the authors wrote much that was not related to their results. The authors should rewrite this section.

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 15996

**Title:** Gastric Cancer in Women: A Regional Health-Center Seven Year Retrospective Study.

**Reviewer's code:** 03017750

**Reviewer's country:** Brazil

**Science editor:** Yuan Qi

**Date sent for review:** 2014-12-21 12:47

**Date reviewed:** 2015-01-01 02:39

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	PubMed Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript is well-written and provides interesting results about the ethnic influence on gastric cancer risk in a specific region of the USA. Before its acceptance, some minor corrections should be provided: In Table 1 legend: Please, specify the meaning of the abbreviations on the table legend. Table 2: Is there any legend for Table 2?? Page 13, Line 5-6: "AAFs represented a large fraction of patients diagnosed with GC below age of 50 (<age of 50)." Is really necessary to write (<age 50)? It seems repetitive for me.