



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Orthopedics

ESPS manuscript NO: 12359

Title: Factors Affecting Healing After Arthroscopic Rotator Cuff Repair

Reviewer code: 02444730

Science editor: Xiu-Xia Song

Date sent for review: 2014-07-04 14:50

Date reviewed: 2014-07-12 00:49

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Specific comments 1. I would ask the authors if it is possible to make a table containing these factors and the related studies (with the main characteristics of each study like design, number of patients, aim, main outcome, conclusion and level of evidence). 2. ABSTRACT. Patients related factors: Similar things are repeated in 3 sentences. I believe this part needs some revision.

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Orthopedics

ESPS manuscript NO: 12359

Title: Factors Affecting Healing After Arthroscopic Rotator Cuff Repair

Reviewer code: 02446215

Science editor: Xiu-Xia Song

Date sent for review: 2014-07-04 14:50

Date reviewed: 2014-07-14 16:40

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The article by Abtahi et al reviewed the factors affecting healing process after arthroscopic rotator cuff repair. The article is interesting even if some aspects are lacking. In particular, the authors didn't described the importance of stem cells application in this field. I suggest to add a paragraph related the use of stem cells to improve the healing process after arthroscopic rotator cuff by adding the following papers too. Isolation and characterization of human mesenchymal stem cells derived from shoulder tissues involved in rotator cuff tears. Utsunomiya H, Uchida S, Sekiya I, Sakai A, Moridera K, Nakamura T. Am J Sports Med. 2013 Mar;41(3):657-68. Rapid isolation of human stem cells (connective tissue progenitor cells) from the proximal humerus during arthroscopic rotator cuff surgery. Mazzocca AD, McCarthy MB, Chowaniec DM, Cote MP, Arciero RA, Drissi H. Am J Sports Med. 2010 Jul;38(7):1438-47.