

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Clinical Cases

**Manuscript NO:** 58762

**Title:** Port-site metastasis of unsuspected gallbladder carcinoma with ossification after laparoscopic cholecystectomy: a case report and literature review

**Reviewer's code:** 05429607

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MD

**Professional title:** Doctor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Lithuania

**Author's Country/Territory:** China

**Manuscript submission date:** 2020-08-21

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2020-08-22 03:43

**Reviewer performed review:** 2020-08-25 01:10

**Review time:** 2 Days and 21 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

## **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

World Journal of Gastroenterology - Manuscript review of Manuscript NO: 587652  
Port-site metastasis of unsuspected gallbladder carcinoma with ossification after laparoscopic cholecystectomy: A case report and literature review Dear Author, First of all, thank you for submitting your manuscript to World Journal of Gastroenterology. This is an interesting, rare and well-visualized article that could be improved with some correction and supplement.

1 Case report, History of present illness, page 4, lines 11-12 - it would be clearer if you could provide more information about the methods used for patients re-examination and follow-up after the first occurrence of adenocarcinoma. When was the last ultrasound or CT performed before the second hospitalization? Did the mass occur in a short time? Was the patient followed-up every 6 months after the first surgery? (clarify the word "regularly") Was the follow-up discontinued for some time?

2 Case report, History of present illness, page 4, line 11 - To my opinion, the sentence "During the operation, abdominal wall tissue (2 cm) around the trocar hole was not removed" from Abstract, Case summary, page 2, line 3, fits better or is missing in the case presentation part (page 4, line 11).

3 Follow up and outcome, page 6, line 14 - What methods and how often were used to follow up the patient after the second operation? What are your recommendations for further follow-up in this case (regularity, methods)?

4 Treatment, page 10, line 6 - To my opinion, the last sentence of this paragraph needs clarification - why was the treatment refused? Did the patient himself refuse the treatment? You mentioned that in the discussion, but this part of the manuscript could also be written more clearly.

5 Discussion, page 9, line 13 - You stated that the data about the need for chemotherapy after the surgical removal is limited. Why was it suggested in this particular case?

6 The discussion part could provide some basic information about the recommended follow-up (methods, regularity)

of such patients in order to prevent cancer re-occurrence. 7 There are some spelling issues: - Abstract, page 2, line 10 - please correct the spelling of the word "Immunohistochemistry"; - Abstract, page 3, line 26 - "summarize" - past tense missing; - Discussion, page 6, line 19 - "anually" - letter "n" missing; - Discussion, page 9, line 9 - please correct the unnecessary capitalization "In the current patient, No recurrence was found"; - Discussion page 9, line 14 - please correct the [20] citation according to the manuscript preparation guidelines. 8 Some language polishing needed: articles and commas are missing throughout the manuscript. 9 Table 1 - in the manuscript, you stated that there is no recurrence of cancer after 31 mo follow-up. In Table 1 - the patient is alive 22 months. Which one is correct? 10 Table 1 does not fill in the page margins. 11 Please clarify the TNM stage of the second occurrence of the gallbladder adenocarcinoma in the text (pT1b is stated only in table 1). 12 Please correct the manuscript according to the provided guidelines for manuscript writing (running title, author contributions etc. are missing, inconsistent amount of words (case report - "no more than 150 words", conclusion - "no more than 20 words", core tip - "no more than 100 words" - please check <https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/187>). 13 Care checklist does not contain lines and pages. Some points are marked as provided in the manuscript, however, are not provided - e.g. case report timeline.

## RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Clinical Cases

**Manuscript NO:** 58762

**Title:** Port-site metastasis of unsuspected gallbladder carcinoma with ossification after laparoscopic cholecystectomy: A case report and literature review

**Reviewer's code:** 05429607

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MD

**Professional title:** Doctor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Lithuania

**Author's Country/Territory:** China

**Manuscript submission date:** 2020-08-21

**Reviewer chosen by:** Chen-Chen Gao

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2020-09-24 03:47

**Reviewer performed review:** 2020-09-24 13:18

**Review time:** 9 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** [bpgooffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgooffice@wjgnet.com)  
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

World Journal of Clinical Cases - re-review of Manuscript NO: 587652 Port-site metastasis of unsuspected gallbladder carcinoma with ossification after laparoscopic cholecystectomy: A case report and literature review Dear authors, Thank you for carefully revising your manuscript (Manuscript NO.: 58762) regarding the provided suggestions and raised questions. The manuscript was revised according to comments in the Peer-Review Report. All raised issues were resolved and needed corrections were made. The authors have provided a point-by-point response to raised issues. The scientific quality, language quality and ethical documents of the revised manuscript meet the publishing requirements, and I recommend it to be published in the World Journal of Clinical cases. No further revision is needed.