

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Immunology

Manuscript NO: 77448

Title: Probiotic treatment as therapy for Inflammatory Bowel Disease: its extent and

intensity

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06250380 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: India

Manuscript submission date: 2022-05-02

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-05-02 10:47

Reviewer performed review: 2022-05-02 10:55

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer

Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous

statements Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This review discusses the in vivo and in vitro anti-oxidant activity of specific probiotics with respect to Inflammatory Bowel Disease. It also focuses on the various in vitro assays performed to study anti-oxidative activities of probiotics. This is a qualified manuscript. The references are up-to-date. The language is understandable with grammatical and syntaxcorrect. The resolution of all the images should be improved.



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Immunology

Manuscript NO: 77448

Title: Probiotic treatment as therapy for Inflammatory Bowel Disease: its extent and

intensity

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 04135204 Position: Editorial Board Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: India

Manuscript submission date: 2022-05-02

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-05-17 09:41

Reviewer performed review: 2022-05-25 09:49

Review time: 8 Days

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [Y] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [Y] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[]Yes [Y]No



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer

Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1 Title. Does the title reflect the main subject/hypothesis of the manuscript? It is suggested as "Probiotic treatment as supplemental therapeutic strategy for inflammatory bowel disease: its extent and intensity". 2 Abstract. Does the abstract summarize and reflect the work described in the manuscript? Yes. 3 Key words. Do the key words reflect the focus of the manuscript? No. It is suggested to choose one of "ROS" and "oxidative stress", which are similar. At the same time, delete "inflammation". 4 Background. Does the manuscript adequately describe the background, present status and significance of the study? The introduction is not sufficient to support their review. Actually, it is not suggested to use subtitles in Introduction section. 8 Illustrations and tables. Are the figures, diagrams and tables sufficient, good quality and appropriately illustrative of the paper contents? Do figures require labeling with arrows, asterisks etc., better legends? Yes. Nice draw. Please enlarge the font in the figures. 11 References. Does the manuscript cite appropriately the latest, important and authoritative references in the introduction and discussion sections? Does the author self-cite, omit, incorrectly cite and/or over-cite references? Yes. No. 12 Quality of manuscript organization and presentation. Is the manuscript well, concisely and coherently organized and presented? Is the style, language and grammar accurate and appropriate? The section of the review was not designed well. The subtitles in this review were unclear. With subtitle in introduction, it is hard to identify the main section in this review. On the other hand, on obvious discussion or conclusion section in this review. So please re-arrange the whole manuscript to make it clear.