



## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Gastroenterology*

**Manuscript NO:** 71956

**Title:** Applications of endoscopic ultrasound elastography in pancreatic diseases: from literature to real life

**Provenance and peer review:** Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 05373202

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MD

**Professional title:** Associate Professor, Chief Doctor, Staff Physician

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Turkey

**Author's Country/Territory:** Italy

**Manuscript submission date:** 2021-09-27

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2021-10-04 14:39

**Reviewer performed review:** 2021-10-04 15:21

**Review time:** 1 Hour

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
**https://**www.wjgnet.com

<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: [ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ] Anonymous [ <input type="checkbox"/> ] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [ <input type="checkbox"/> ] Yes [ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ] No
-------------------------------------	---

### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

Elastography is very useful method in medicine. Different areas of the medicine are in the usage area for elastography. I offer you to cite 2 new researchs about strain elastography in the obstetrics area for general information . 1) Tolunay HE, Eroğlu H, Çelik ÖY, Arat Ö, Obut M, Varlı EN, Şahin D, Yücel A. Can placental elasticity predict the time of delivery in cases of threatened preterm labor? J Obstet Gynaecol Res. 2021 Feb;47(2):606-612. doi: 10.1111/jog.14570. Epub 2020 Nov 16. PMID: 33200568. 2) Tolunay HE, Eroğlu H, Kaya O, Şahin D, Yücel A. The effect of placental elasticity on intraoperative bleeding in pregnant women with previous cesarean section. J Perinat Med. 2020 Mar 26;48(3):217-221. doi: 10.1515/jpm-2019-0448. PMID: 32045355. Congratulations.



## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Gastroenterology*

**Manuscript NO:** 71956

**Title:** Applications of endoscopic ultrasound elastography in pancreatic diseases: from literature to real life

**Provenance and peer review:** Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 04025443

**Position:** Editorial Board

**Academic degree:** MD, PhD

**Professional title:** Doctor, Senior Researcher

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Russia

**Author's Country/Territory:** Italy

**Manuscript submission date:** 2021-09-27

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2021-10-04 04:37

**Reviewer performed review:** 2021-10-06 12:11

**Review time:** 2 Days and 7 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No



<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: [ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ] Anonymous [ <input type="checkbox"/> ] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [ <input type="checkbox"/> ] Yes [ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ] No
-------------------------------------	---

### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

Dear authors! I read with pleasure and interest your manuscript entitled "Applications of the elastography in pancreatic diseases: from literature to real life". It's a narrative review of the literature, correctly structured and well-illustrated. I suppose, that the subject of the manuscript is actual and could be interesting to the readers. I see no major flaws related to the manuscript; still, there are some minor. The information in the manuscript is related to EUS elastography; please, consider to add this specific detail to the manuscript's title. No key words are provided, however, they are required per manuscripts' preparation guidelines and should be assessed during peer-review. Although, types of pancreatic stiffness measurements are well-described in the Introduction, only a little information is given on the actuality of the prepared review. Could please provide more detail (novel method, lack of standards, etc)? The aim of the review is not correctly given as well. Could you please put the aim in a standardized manner? There is a piece of text, describing methods used for processing literature data. However, they seem incomplete, as neither databases, nor search period, and other exclusion criteria (original papers? reviews? full-text/abstracts?) are not mentioned. It is not clear, whether quality assessment criteria of the found papers were applied. To allow more objectivity to the great job performed, could you please add some details? Tables' headings are normally given above a table. Please, check whether table 1 is formatted accordingly. Please, ensure that rows' and columns' names are self-explanatory (I would suggest adding an upper row named "diagnostic criteria" and explained "Parenchyma" and "Duct" as parts of the pancreas). Please, provide a reference for Rosemont criteria of CP at the table 1 heading. It is not clear, what does "\*" means in the footnote of the table



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)  
**https://**[www.wjgnet.com](https://www.wjgnet.com)

1 - please, explain. The format of the manuscript is not within required criteria (Including font, spaces etc). References are not given in required format (all authors, PMIDs, etc). Two self-citations identified (6.6%), they seem to be used correctly. Please, pay attention to the merging words throughout the manuscript. As far as I know, "Young" (in "young's module", page 2) is a family name; please, ensure it is written correctly. Minor language polishing is required. I hope, that above mentioned comments would help the authors to make their manuscript even better. They in no case diminish the scientific quality of the paper as a whole.