

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 11796

Title: Accuracy of routine MDCT in identifying arterial variants in patients scheduled for pancreaticoduodenectomy

Reviewer code: 00070915

Science editor: Yuan Qi

Date sent for review: 2014-06-05 19:03

Date reviewed: 2014-06-19 16:00

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a retrospective analysis of the accuracy of preoperative MDCT in detecting arterial variants in patients scheduled for pancreaticoduodenectomy. The manuscript is well written and the results adequately support its objective. Therefore I recommend publication of the manuscript after revision of the following minor points: Line 103: procedure not precodure Line 117: image is a noun not a verb, use another expression (Line 138) Were the surgeons more appropriate to review the MDCTs than radiologists? Do they do that routinely or just for the study? Line 155: smartprep software: manufacturer/developer is not mentioned Line 199: Figure 1 is difficult to interpret because patient numbers 458 and 181 representing the patients who met the inclusion criteria (as mentioned in the text) are not depicted in it.

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 11796

Title: Accuracy of routine MDCT in identifying arterial variants in patients scheduled for pancreaticoduodenectomy

Reviewer code: 00070577

Science editor: Yuan Qi

Date sent for review: 2014-06-05 19:03

Date reviewed: 2014-06-24 11:40

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Yang et al. reported about MDCT in identifying arterial variants in patients scheduled for pancreaticoduodenectomy. Although the authors analyzed a large number of patients, there are some concerns about this paper. 1) I think recently many surgeon or physician often check the variants of the artery, thus I do not feel that this study itself is novel. 2) The authors described that limitation for evaluating CAS is existing. The authors do not describe their opinion about this. Is Angiography needed to all patients?