



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Diabetes

ESPS manuscript NO: 12733

Title: Nociception at the diabetic foot, an uncharted territory

Reviewer's code: 02459617

Reviewer's country: China

Science editor: Yue-Li Tian

Date sent for review: 2014-07-25 21:04

Date reviewed: 2014-08-07 10:33

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Chantelau et al. reviewed the current articles and summarize the information about the nociception at the diabetic foot. This is interesting and well organized manuscript. There is no comments about this manuscript.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Diabetes

ESPS manuscript NO: 12733

Title: Nociception at the diabetic foot, an uncharted territory

Reviewer's code: 00875981

Reviewer's country: United States

Science editor: Yue-Li Tian

Date sent for review: 2014-07-25 21:04

Date reviewed: 2014-09-02 10:42

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is an interesting manuscript. Attention to the following should improve it. Minor comments:

1. Under "Quantitative sensory testing", it would be useful to define the word "limen". 2. Under deep pressure pain perception, the word "lager" should be changed to "larger". Major comments:

1. It would be interesting to include a section on the pathophysiology of nerve fiber destruction. In particular, it would be interesting to know if there are opportunities (in addition to glucose control) to prevent PLDN. 2. There is brief mention of limited day-to-day reproducibility of DPPPT. What insights are available about day-to-day reproducibility of CPPPT? In the presence of subjective testing and potential difficulties with reproducibility, are these tools likely to be helpful in assessing disease progression? 3. It would also be interesting to know how other co-morbidities (depression comes to mind) influence the results of testing?