

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 17558

Title: Randomized clinical trials of the Antioxidant therapy in the management of acute, chronic and post-ERCP pancreatitis: An updated systematic review and meta-analysis of clinical and laboratory outcomes of 4898 patients

Reviewer's code: 00069230

Reviewer's country: United States

Science editor: Ya-Juan Ma

Date sent for review: 2015-03-13 13:40

Date reviewed: 2015-03-26 02:57

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Randomized clinical trials of the Antioxidant therapy in the management of acute, chronic and post-ERCP pancreatitis: An updated systematic review and meta-analysis of clinical and laboratory outcomes of 4898 patients by Gooshe et.al is an interesting manuscript that presents an in detail research and meta-analysis of the effect of antioxidant therapy on acute pancreatitis, chronic pancreatitis and post endoscopic retrograde cholangiography pancreatitis. Although drugs used can be deduced form the search terms, the specific drugs prescribed as antioxidant therapy, corresponding doses and way of administration should be included in each antioxidant therapy section (AP, PEP and CP).

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 17558

Title: Randomized clinical trials of the Antioxidant therapy in the management of acute, chronic and post-ERCP pancreatitis: An updated systematic review and meta-analysis of clinical and laboratory outcomes of 4898 patients

Reviewer's code: 00043819

Reviewer's country: Italy

Science editor: Ya-Juan Ma

Date sent for review: 2015-03-13 13:40

Date reviewed: 2015-03-21 23:28

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Interesting paper

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 17558

Title: Randomized clinical trials of the Antioxidant therapy in the management of acute, chronic and post-ERCP pancreatitis: An updated systematic review and meta-analysis of clinical and laboratory outcomes of 4898 patients

Reviewer's code: 00068107

Reviewer's country: China

Science editor: Ya-Juan Ma

Date sent for review: 2015-03-13 13:40

Date reviewed: 2015-03-22 18:35

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The drugs of the antioxidant therapy need be clarified in the text

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 17558

Title: Randomized clinical trials of the Antioxidant therapy in the management of acute, chronic and post-ERCP pancreatitis: An updated systematic review and meta-analysis of clinical and laboratory outcomes of 4898 patients

Reviewer's code: 00069406

Reviewer's country: China

Science editor: Ya-Juan Ma

Date sent for review: 2015-03-13 13:40

Date reviewed: 2015-03-13 16:39

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a meta-analysis of antioxidant therapy on AP, CP and PEP. The method is OK and the result is acceptable. However, I recommend the author rearrange their data, to focus on AP and PEP but not CP. Because CP's pathology and mechanism is totally different from that of AP and PEP.