



**ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT**

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Hepatology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 20900

**Title:** Dendritic cells: The warriors upfront-turned defunct in chronic Hep-C infection

**Reviewer’s code:** 02995242

**Reviewer’s country:** Saudi Arabia

**Science editor:** Xue-Mei Gong

**Date sent for review:** 2015-06-26 08:31

**Date reviewed:** 2015-06-30 18:51

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

**COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

This review article shows the role that dendritic cells play in the immune response in general and especially against hepatitis c virus. It also shows the mechanisms by which dendritic cells impairment occurs in HCV infection. The article is acceptable for publication with some modifications.

- Page 8, paragraph 2: a. First sentence indicated up-regulation in myeloid DCs of CHC patients and reference 34 does not have any CHC patients. It is a review article. b. Third sentence indicates activated human T cells making the cells susceptible to apoptosis and reference 35 does not have any apoptosis experiment.
- References mismatching: In page 9 second paragraph, reference 43 should be 44. In page 10, reference 44 should be 45. At the end, reference 48 does not exist in the text. I think references needs to be reviewed and adjusted in the whole article.
- Typing mistakes: a. Page 2 line 4: diseasein should be disease in. b. Page 2 core tip: virusis should be virus is.
- Page 3 Second paragraph line 7: no reference for this statement (HCV succeeds in disrupting the coordination.....).
- Page 6 Third paragraph, first sentence: references 24 – 26 are not in order after reference 20. It should be 21 – 23.



**ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT**

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Hepatology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 20900

**Title:** Dendritic cells: The warriors upfront-turned defunct in chronic Hep-C infection

**Reviewer’s code:** 02939199

**Reviewer’s country:** China

**Science editor:** Xue-Mei Gong

**Date sent for review:** 2015-06-26 08:31

**Date reviewed:** 2015-07-12 10:34

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

**COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

I enjoyed reading the manuscript “Dendritic cells: The warriors upfront-turned defunct in chronic Hep-C infection” by Sachdeva et al. In this review, the authors summarized the role and status of DCs, as well as mechanism of DCs impairment, in HCV infection. Further, authors propose potential strategies to improve DC functions during HCV infection. I believe that this review seems to be quite comprehensive and useful for clinicians and researchers. Also it had been written in a concise style. This review should be published in WJH with a high priority. However, there are a few flaws to be addressed before publication. 1. Few spell mistakes, such as Page 5, Line 15: ‘their their’ should be ‘their’; Page 7, Last second Line: ‘exhausttive’ should be ‘exhaustive’, etc. 2. The figure 1 in peer review manuscript is not complete. 3. References mismatching is a major problem, such as RF[24-26] in page 6, paragraph3, Line 2; RF 43,44,45,46,47 in page 9,10. In addition, RF 15 in page 12 “Cell146: 660- e2” should be “Cell 2011;146: 660- e2”. The author needs to check the 3. references carefully when uploading the revised manuscript.



# BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Hepatology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 20900

**Title:** Dendritic cells: The warriors upfront-turned defunct in chronic Hep-C infection

**Reviewer's code:** 02528485

**Reviewer's country:** Taiwan

**Science editor:** Xue-Mei Gong

**Date sent for review:** 2015-06-26 08:31

**Date reviewed:** 2015-07-15 08:31

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1. Please correct the typo-errors: (1) (line11, page 4) [impaire] should be [impair]; (2) (line 28, page 4) [(RIG-I)like] should be [(RIG-I) like]; (3) (line 15, page 5) [their their ] should be [their]; (4) (line 8, page 7) [This also seem] should be [This also seems]; (5) (line 29, page 7) [exhausttive] should be [exhaustive]; (line 28, page 10) [alongwith] should be [along with]. 2. Please add references correctly.