

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 4162

Title: Small bowel tumors detected and missed during capsule endoscopy: single center experience

Reviewer code: 00504538

Science editor: Wen, Ling-Ling

Date sent for review: 2013-06-18 16:59

Date reviewed: 2013-07-01 09:44

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Although this study has a limitation of retrospective study, I think it has strengths including large enrollment and results of small bowel tumor -oriented follow up. Revisions: 1) The some descriptions of abstract do not coincide with that of bodies. (Abstract: Benign neoplasms included dysplastic Peutz-Jeghers polyps in 3 patients and haemangioma in 1. Non-neoplastic masses included 1 isolated hamartomatous polyp and 2 inflammatory tumors. Body: 6 were benign (4%), and 2 were non-neoplastic (1.3%). 2) MeSh (Medical Subject Headings) are recommended for key word.

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 4162

Title: Small bowel tumors detected and missed during capsule endoscopy: single center experience

Reviewer code: 00035694

Science editor: Wen, Ling-Ling

Date sent for review: 2013-06-18 16:59

Date reviewed: 2013-07-01 21:55

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This study has strengths including large enrollment and results of small bowel tumor – oriented follow up. Although, the authors should indicate the limitation of this study, due to the fact that it is a retrospective study. Revisions: The some descriptions of abstract do not coincide with that of bodies. (Abstract: Benign neoplasms included dysplastic Peutz-Jeghers polyps in 3 patients and haemangioma in 1. Non-neoplastic masses included 1 isolated hamartomatous polyp and 2 inflammatory tumors. Body: 6 were benign (4%), and 2 were non-neoplastic (1.3%).