

**ESPS Peer-review Report**

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 6783

**Title:** Management of borderline and locally advanced pancreatic cancer: where do we stand?

**Reviewer code:** 00733185

**Science editor:** Qi, Yuan

**Date sent for review:** 2013-10-29 22:37

**Date reviewed:** 2013-11-11 17:01

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	language polishing	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

**COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

I evaluated the review "Management of borderline and locally advanced pancreatic cancer: where do we stand?" It is a bit long but well compiled and all the therapy strategies are interpreted on latest literature. This review is acceptable for the journal.

## ESPS Peer-review Report

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 6783

**Title:** Management of borderline and locally advanced pancreatic cancer: where do we stand?

**Reviewer code:** 01191922

**Science editor:** Qi, Yuan

**Date sent for review:** 2013-10-29 22:37

**Date reviewed:** 2013-11-20 17:08

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1. The section of diagnosis of LAPC seems a little simple. John Hopkins Hospital is quite famous in the field of pancreatic cancer, could the authors provide more pictures to show CT, MRI, EUS and laparoscopy in the diagnosis of LAPC in addition to Figure 1. 2. "Initially Unresectable" should be "Initially Unresectable". 3. The authors list many chemotherapy regimens for LAPC, it would be better if they list these regimens in several tables. 4. It would be better if they provide a current LAPC treatment flowchart of the John Hopkins Hospital. 5. Treatment of LAPC is a real challenge, emergences of novel therapies have shown promises for this devastating disease. In this review, the authors showed us locoregional therapy with irreversible electroporation, which may have a promise in downstaging and prolonging survival. Could the authors provide more pictures about irreversible electroporation, such as schematic diagram, devices, or intraoperative photograph. 6. Could the authors discuss something about individual therapy for LAPC?