

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

**Manuscript NO:** 86190

**Title:** Effects of humanized nursing care on negative emotions and complications in patients undergoing hysteromyoma surgery

**Provenance and peer review:** Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 06520068

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MD, PhD

**Professional title:** Doctor, Researcher

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** United States

**Author's Country/Territory:** China

**Manuscript submission date:** 2023-06-20

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2023-06-25 00:44

**Reviewer performed review:** 2023-07-06 03:18

**Review time:** 11 Days and 2 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation

<b>Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Despite advances in surgical techniques, patients undergoing hysteromyoma surgery may still grapple with significant stress and anxiety due to uncertainties about the procedure, potential complications, fertility preservation, and postoperative recovery. Humanized nursing care emphasizes the human aspects of care and seeks to create a supportive and therapeutic environment for patients, and has been increasingly recognized as a valuable approach to improving patient outcomes. In this study, the authors examine humanized nursing care's impact on the negative emotions and postoperative complications experienced by patients who undergo hysteromyoma surgery. The manuscript is overall well written. The aims are clear, and the methods are clearly described. The results are well discussed. Comments: 1. Some minor language editing is required. 2. The images should be improved. The words in the images are too small. 3. References should be edited and updated.

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

**Manuscript NO:** 86190

**Title:** Effects of humanized nursing care on negative emotions and complications in patients undergoing hysteromyoma surgery

**Provenance and peer review:** Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 06519629

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MD

**Professional title:** Associate Professor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Sweden

**Author's Country/Territory:** China

**Manuscript submission date:** 2023-06-20

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2023-06-26 00:26

**Reviewer performed review:** 2023-07-06 08:15

**Review time:** 10 Days and 7 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation

<b>Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In this study, the authors suggest that healthcare providers should consider humanized nursing care as an essential component of perioperative care for these patients. The study is well designed and performed. The findings are very interesting. The reviewer recommends to accept this study after a minor editing of the manuscript.

### Reviewer #1:

**Specific Comments to Authors:** In this study, the authors suggest that healthcare providers should consider humanized nursing care as an essential component of perioperative care for these patients. The study is well designed and performed. The findings are very interesting. The reviewer recommends to accept this study after a minor editing of the manuscript.

Thank you very much for your suggestion. I have made some minor modifications and language polishing to the manuscript

### Reviewer #2:

**Specific Comments to Authors:** 1. Some minor language editing is required. 2. The



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
**<https://www.wjgnet.com>**

images should be improved. The words in the images are too small. 3. References should be edited and updated.

1. Language editing: I have carefully reviewed the entire manuscript and made the necessary minor language edits. I believe the text now conforms to the standards of academic English.

2. Image quality: I have adjusted the images in the manuscript to improve their legibility. The words in the images are now larger and clearer, ensuring that the details can be seen more easily.

3. References: I have meticulously revised the reference list. The outdated references have been updated and the overall formatting has been checked for consistency.