



## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

**Manuscript NO:** 89704

**Title:** Pathological diagnosis and immunohistochemical analysis of giant retrosternal goiter in the elderly: A case report

**Provenance and peer review:** Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 05487696

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MD, MSc

**Professional title:** Consultant Physician-Scientist, Doctor, Lecturer

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Egypt

**Author's Country/Territory:** China

**Manuscript submission date:** 2023-11-09

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2023-11-16 03:56

**Reviewer performed review:** 2023-11-16 04:08

**Review time:** 1 Hour

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Novelty of this manuscript</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
<b>Creativity or innovation of this manuscript</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation



<b>Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

**SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

- Abstract can be more concise. - In introduction authors use two paragraphs to discuss the importance of reporting this case which should be revised or conjugated. -In case presentation; why do you use in size measurement (about)? be confirmed. Provide any differntial diagnosis. Keep adherent to the recent WHO nomination. Provide bit details about the treatment. - In discussion; Kindly discuss the treatment strategies for thyroid lesions and retrsosternal types and provide the recent techniques and the post-surgical possible complications using the recently and related published artoicles sush as: Nagaty M, Shehata MS, Elkady AS, Eid M, Nady M, Youssef A, Henish MIE, Monazea K, Noreldin RI, Nasr M, Fayad S, Abdelwahed MS, Hasan A. An assessment of the role of surgical loupe technique in prevention of postthyroidectomy complications: a comparative prospective study. Ann Med Surg (Lond). 2023 Mar 24;85(3):446-452. doi: 10.1097/MS9.0000000000000271. & Hauch A, Al-Qurayshi Z, Randolph G, Kandil E. Total thyroidectomy is associated with increased risk of complications for low- and high-volume surgeons. Ann Surg Oncol. 2014 Nov;21(12):3844-52



## RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

**Manuscript NO:** 89704

**Title:** Pathological diagnosis and immunohistochemical analysis of giant retrosternal goiter in the elderly: A case report

**Provenance and peer review:** Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 05487696

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MD, MSc

**Professional title:** Consultant Physician-Scientist, Doctor, Lecturer

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Egypt

**Author's Country/Territory:** China

**Manuscript submission date:** 2023-11-09

**Reviewer chosen by:** Jia-Ping Yan

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2023-11-23 07:41

**Reviewer performed review:** 2023-11-23 07:49

**Review time:** 1 Hour

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Peer-reviewer</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** office@baishideng.com  
**https://www.wjgnet.com**

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

#### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

Thank you for considering the recommendations; the manuscript has been improved, however, I recommend authors keep to the WHO nomenclature of the tumors.