

Dear editor and reviewers:

Thank you for your letter and the reviewers' comments on our manuscript entitled "Modified surgical method of supra- and infratentorial epidural hematoma and the related anatomical study of the squamosal part of the occipital bone" (ID: 70047).

Those comments are very helpful for revising and improving our paper, as well as the important guiding significance to other studies. We have studied the comments carefully and made corrections which we hope to meet with approval. The main corrections are in the manuscript and the responds to the reviewers' comments are as follows (the replies are highlighted in blue).

Replies to the reviewers' comments:

Reviewer #1:

The whole manuscript needs to add standard deviation to the means. Furthermore, when you mention a company, you need to mention it's location.

1. In this article, except that the GCS score is not expressed by means + standard deviation because it does not conform to the normal distribution, other data are expressed by means + standard deviation.
2. According to the reviewer's comment, all the mentioned companies have indicated their locations and are displayed in red in the text.

Replies to the Science editor:

(1) The "Author Contributions" section is missing. Please provide the author contributions

This part is already in the manuscript.

(2) The authors did not provide the approved grant application form(s). Please upload the approved grant application form(s) or funding agency copy of any approval document(s)

These documents will be uploaded together with the revised version.

(3) The authors did not provide original pictures. Please provide the original figure documents. Please prepare and arrange the figures using PowerPoint to ensure that all graphs or arrows or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor;

The original figure documents will be uploaded together with the revised version.

(4) PMID and DOI numbers are missing in the reference list. Please provide the PubMed numbers and DOI citation numbers to the reference list and list all authors of the references. Please revise throughout

The format of references in the manuscript meets the above requirements

(5) The “Case Presentation” section was not written according to the Guidelines for Manuscript Preparation. Please re-write the “Case Presentation” section, and add the “FINAL DIAGNOSIS”, “TREATMENT”, and “OUTCOME AND FOLLOW-UP” sections to the main text, according to the Guidelines and Requirements for Manuscript Revision.

There is no “Case Presentation” section in this article.

Replies to the Company editor-in-chief:

Before final acceptance, uniform presentation should be used for figures showing the same or similar contents; for example, “Figure 1 Pathological changes of atrophic gastritis after treatment. A: ...; B: ...; C: ...; D: ...; E: ...; F: ...; G: ...”. Please provide decomposable Figures (in which all components are movable and editable), organize them into a single PowerPoint file.

Figure legends have been modified and the decomposable Figures will be uploaded together with the revised version.