

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

Manuscript NO: 64238

Title: Immunotherapy after liver transplantation: Where are we now?

Reviewer's code: 05758726 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-02-14

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-02-14 23:44

Reviewer performed review: 2021-02-15 11:28

Review time: 11 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[]Yes [Y]No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1. The autors made a PMC search to find recent trend of patients with prior liver transplantation and subsequent immunotherapy. They conclude rejection risk is the major obstacle to immunotherapy use. 2. The level of description is very good with some exceptions (below). 3-1. The study include 9 patients with melanoma, therefore the title "Immunotherapy for Post-Liver Transplant Hepatocellular Carcinoma Recurrence: Where are we now?" is innapropriate. 3-2. The authors should include the key words in PubMed Search so that the reader can reconfirm. 3-3. Facing the immune-related adverse event, we often continue IO-drug with corticosteroids. That is, immunosuppression and immunostimulation are performed at the same time. It will be helpful to the reader to describe the mechanism at that time. 3-4. Despite the description of research limitations, the authors addressed figures of a comparison of two groups with different backgrounds (Pembro.'s PFS and OS are significantly better than Nibo.). It may be misleading to the reader, so it is better to delete the figures and keep it as a reference in the text, or to make a comparison using propensity score matching.



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

Manuscript NO: 64238

Title: Immunotherapy after liver transplantation: Where are we now?

Reviewer's code: 03501077 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Italy

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-02-14

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-02-14 14:31

Reviewer performed review: 2021-02-25 16:41

Review time: 11 Days and 2 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [Y] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[]Yes [Y]No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The paper is well written and the theme is clinically relevant. Statistical analyses have been well conducted My most significant concern is the very low homogeneity of patient population, being several studies evaluated together. I think Authors should clarify inclusion and exclusion criteria of the considered studies.