

Dear Na Ma,  
Company Editor-in-Chief, Editorial Office

Thank you for accepting our manuscript for the *World Journal of Clinical Oncology* (Manuscript NO.: 53154) after appropriate revision.

Please find below the comments and changes which were applied to the first version of the manuscript. For your convenience the steps required by you and your staff are listed again in italic font and the authors's answer is given below in bold font.

Thank you again for your decision!

Kind regards

Prof. Dr. M. Suttorp

**Step 1:** *Please select revise this manuscript or not*

*Please login to the F6Publishing system at <https://www.f6publishing.com> by entering your registered E-mail and password. After clicking on the "Author Login" button, please click on the "Manuscripts Needing Revision" under the "Revisions" heading to find your manuscript that needs revision. Clicking on the "Handle" button allows you to choose to revise this manuscript or not. If you choose not to revise your manuscript, please click on the "Decline" button, and the manuscript will be WITHDRAWN.*

**Answer Step 1: We selected to revise the manuscript**

**Step 2:** *Key points of revising the manuscript*

*(1) Scientific quality: Please resolve all issues in the manuscript based on the peer review report and make a point-to-point response to the issues raised in the peer review report.*

**Answer Step 2 (1): There are no issues raised in the peer review report concerning scientific quality and therefore no point-to point response can be made.**

*(2) Language quality: Please resolve all language issues in the manuscript based on the peer review report. Please be sure to have a native-English speaker edit the manuscript for grammar, sentence structure, word usage, spelling, capitalization, punctuation, format, and general readability, so that the manuscript's language will meet our direct publishing needs.*

**Answer Step 2 (2): The language quality is judged as Grade A (Priority publishing). Therefor no changes have been applied to the text.**

*(3) Special requirements for figures: Figures must be presented in the order that they appear in the main text of the manuscript (numbered as 1, 2, 3, etc.). The requirements for the figures and figure legends include: (A) All submitted figures, including the text contained within the figures, must be editable. Please provide the text in your figure(s) in text boxes; (B) For line drawings that were automatically generated with software, please provide the labels/values of the ordinate and abscissa in text boxes; (C) Please prepare and arrange the figures using PowerPoint to ensure that all graphs or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor; and (D) In consideration of color-blind readers, please avoid using red and green for contrast in vector graphics or images.*

**Answer Step 2 (3): A) The four figures are no longer embedded into the running text of the revised version of the manuscript but were downloaded as individual ppt files (one figure per file). B) Labels for ordinate and abscissa are provided in text boxes. C) All figures were prepared using MS powerpoint. D) Red and green colors have been avoided if possible.**

(4) *Special requirements for tables: Tables must be presented in the order that they appear in the main text of the manuscript (numbered as 1, 2, 3, etc.). Please verify that the tables are referred to in the text by their respective Roman numerals and that the numbering order is correct, and format the tables. Please verify that there are no missing or multiple spaces in the text and tables, e.g. before or after parentheses, between words, or before or after symbols like +, ×, ±, <, >, ≥, and ≤. Please verify that the special words or letters in the text and tables are correct, e.g. P (uppercase), n (lowercase), via, vs (lowercase, no punctuation), in vivo, in vitro, and et al (no punctuation) are italicized.*

**Answer Step 2 (4): Not applicable as there are no tables in the manuscript**

(5) *Special requirements for references: Please provide the PubMed numbers and DOI citation numbers to the reference list and list all authors of the references. Please revise throughout. The author should provide the first page of the paper without PMID and DOI numbers. NOTE: The PMID is required, and NOT the PMCID; the PMID number can be found at <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov>. (Please begin with PMID:) The DOI number can be found at <http://www.crossref.org/SimpleTextQuery/>. (Please begin with DOI: 10.\*\*). Please verify that the references are cited by Arabic numerals in square brackets and superscripted in the text, and that the numbering order is correct. There should be no space between the bracket and the preceding word or the following punctuation. When references in the text and tables are cited with author name(s), it is necessary to manually verify that the name(s) is consistent with the first author's surname in the corresponding reference list.*

**Answer Step 2 (5): All authors of a given reference are listed in the revised version of the manuscript. If possible PMID and DOI numbers have been added to the references in the reference list. Exceptions comprise references to webpages on the Internet (#8, #23, #24, #25), references citing a chapter in a book (#38) and citable abstracts of oral presentations or poster presentations at International Meetings published in supplements of high-impact journals like Blood and HemaSphere (#43, #45, #46, #47, #48, #49, #50, #51, #52, #53). A single full paper was cited (#55) with DOI only as it was published last week in Frontiers of Immunology but is not yet accessible in PubMed central because of the short time interval. All other formatting procedures were applied as listed above.**

(6) *Special requirements for article highlights: If your manuscript is an original study (basic study or clinical study), meta-analysis, systemic review, the “article highlights” section should be provided. Detailed writing requirements for “article highlights” can be found in the Guidelines and Requirements for Manuscript Revision.*

**Answer Step 2 (6): On 2020-April-22 at 02:36 hrs Ze-Mao Gong (Editorial Office Director) states: I have checked the comments written by the science editor. The files of Copyright License Agreement and Language Certificate are eligible. The authors do not need to provide “article highlights” section in an opinion review article.**

(7) *Ethical documents: Please double check the accuracy of all ethical documents and verify the completeness of the documents according to the type of manuscript.*

**Answer Step 2 (7): Not applicable**

(8) *Approved grant application form(s) or funding agency copy of any approval document(s): If your manuscript has supportive foundations, the approved grant application form(s) or funding agency copy of any approval document(s) must be provided.*

**Answer Step 2 (8): Not applicable**

*Step 3: Manuscript revision deadline*

*We request that you submit your revision in no more than 14 days.*

**Answer Step 3: We shall adhere to the deadline for resubmission which is 2020 May 06.**

*Step 4: Verify the accuracy of general information for your manuscript*

*Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Oncology*

*Manuscript NO.: 53154*

*Column: Opinion Review*

*Title: The horn of plenty: value of the international registry for pediatric chronic myeloid leukemia (CML)*

*Authors: Meinolf Suttorp, Markus Metzler and Frédéric Millot*

*Corresponding author: Meinolf Suttorp, MD, PhD, Emeritus Professor, Research Scientist, Senior Lecturer, Senior Scientist, Department of Pediatrics and Adolescent Medicine, Pediatric Hematology /Oncology/Stem Cell Transplantation, University Hospital "Carl Gustav Carus", Department of Paediatrics, University Hospital, Dresden, Germany.*

*meinolf.suttorp@uniklinikum-dresden.de, Dresden D-01307, Germany.*

*meinolf.suttorp@uniklinikum-dresden.de*

*Received Date: 2019-12-13*

*First decision: 2020-04-22*

**Answer Step 4: We have added the information as listed above as first page to the revised version of the manuscript.**

*Step 5: Peer-review report(s)*

*The authors must resolve all issues in the manuscript based on peer-review report(s) and make a point-to point response to the issues raised in the peer-review report(s) which listed below:*

*Reviewer #1:*

*Scientific Quality: Grade B (Very good)*

*Language Quality: Grade A (Priority publishing)*

*Conclusion: Accept (General priority)*

*Specific Comments to Authors: The manuscript written by Suttorp M. et al. describes the achievements and future directions of The International Registry on Pediatric CML (IR-PCML). Since pediatric CML is rare and it thus important to collect all data on the diagnosis, treatment, and prognosis for the future advances in the management of the disease. From that point of view, the role of IR-PCML would be very important, and the manuscript could provide valuable information to the various physicians.*

**Answer Step 5: From our point of judgement there are no issues raised by the reviewer requiring any changes in the text.**

*Step 6: Editorial Office's comments*

*The author must revise the manuscript according to the Editorial Office's comments and suggestions, which listed below:*

*(1) Science Editor: After adding reviewers for more than three rounds, there is still no peer reviewer who sends the comments back. The author can recommend peer reviewers (not from the territory/country of the author) within 3 days or the editorial office will have to withdraw the manuscript.*

*(2) Editorial Office Director: I have checked the comments written by the science editor. The files of Copyright License Agreement and Language Certificate are eligible. The authors do not need to provide "article highlights" section in an opinion review article.*

*(3) Company Editor-in-Chief: I have reviewed the Peer-Review Report, the full text of the manuscript and the relevant ethics documents, all of which have met the basic publishing requirements, and the manuscript is conditionally accepted with major revisions. I have sent*

*the manuscript to the author(s) for its revision according to the Peer-Review Report and the Criteria for Manuscript Revision by Authors.*

**Answer Step 6: We hope that after the changes applied to the reference list and to the formatting of the figures the revised version is now acceptable by all editors.**

*Step 7: Revise the manuscript*

*Please update your manuscript according to the Guidelines and Requirements for Manuscript Revision and the Format for Manuscript Revision for your specific manuscript type: 'Opinion Review'. Please visit <https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/291> for the article type-specific guidelines and formatting examples. We only accept the manuscript in MS Word format, and the manuscript in other formats will be rejected.*

**Answer Step 7: We believe that the revised version now adheres to the format required for 'Opinion Reviews'.**

*Step 8: Submit the revised manuscript and all related documents*

*When you are ready to resubmit your revised paper and all required accompanying documents (listed below), you can begin the uploading process via the F6Publishing system.*

*(1) 53154-Manuscript File*

*(2) 53154-Answering Reviewers*

*(3) 53154-Audio Core Tip*

*(4) 53154-Conflict-of-Interest Disclosure Form*

*(5) 53154-Copyright License Agreement*

*(6) 53154-Approved Grant Application Form(s) or Funding Agency Copy of any Approval Document(s)*

*(7) 53154-Non-Native Speakers of English Editing Certificate*

*(8) 53154-Video*

*(9) 53154-Image File*

*(10) 53154-Supplementary Material*

**Answer to Step 8: Points (2), (3), (6), (8), (9), and (10) are not applicable. Concerning point (1) instead of the Manuscript file we have uploaded the revised version of our manuscript. All other points have been dealt with already when the first version of the manuscript was submitted.**