

78801_Auto_Edited-check.docx

Name of Journal: *World Journal of Gastroenterology*

Manuscript NO: 78801

Manuscript Type: ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Observational Study

Insights into hepatitis E virus epidemiology in Croatia

Jelicic P *et al.* HEV epidemiology in Croatia

Abstract

BACKGROUND

Hepatitis E virus (HEV) is an emerging virus of global health concern. The seroprevalence rates differ greatly according to geographic region and population group.

AIM

To analyze the seroprevalence of HEV in exposed (animal-related professions) and non-exposed populations, as well as solid organ and hematopoietic stem cell transplant patients.

METHODS

Forestry workers ($n = 93$), hunters ($n = 74$) and veterinarians ($n = 151$) represented the exposed population. General population ($n = 126$) and pregnant women ($n = 118$) constituted the control group. Transplant patients included liver transplant recipients (LTR) ($n = 83$), kidney transplant recipients (KTR) ($n = 43$) and hematopoietic stem cell transplant recipients (HSCR; $n = 39$). HEV immunoglobulin G antibodies were detected using enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay and confirmed by immunoblot test.

RESULTS

The HEV seroprevalence differed significantly between groups: Veterinarians 15.2%, hunters 14.9%, forestry workers 6.5%, general population 7.1%, pregnant women 1.7%. In transplant patients, the seropositivity was highest in LTR (19.3%), while in KTR and HSCR the seroprevalence is similar to the general population (6.9% and 5.1%, respectively). A significant increase in the seropositivity with age was observed from 2.9% in individuals less than 30 years to 23.5% in those older than 60 years. Sociodemographic characteristics (gender, educational level, area of residents, and number of household members), eating habits (game meat, offal, and pork products consumption), environmental and housing conditions (drinking water supply, type of

water drainage/sewer, waste disposal, domestic animals) were not associated with HEV seropositivity. However, individuals who reported a pet ownership were more often seropositive compared who did not have pet animals (12.5% *vs* 7.0%).

CONCLUSION

The results of this study showed that individuals in professional contact with animals and LTR are at higher risk for HEV infection. In addition, age is a significant risk factor for HEV seropositivity.

Key Words: Hepatitis E virus; Seroprevalence; Veterinarians; Hunters; Forestry workers; Transplant patients; Croatia

Jelicic P, Ferenc T, Mrzljak A, Jemersic L, Janev-Holcer N, Milošević M, Bogdanic M, Barbic L, Kolaric B, Stevanovic V, Vujica M, Jurekovic Z, Pavicic Saric J, Vilibic M, Vilibic-Cavlek T. Insights into hepatitis E virus epidemiology in Croatia. *World J Gastroenterol* 2022; In press

Core Tip: Hepatitis E virus (HEV) is an emerging viral pathogen of public health concern. We analyzed the epidemiological characteristics of HEV infection in different population groups in Croatia. The highest seroprevalence was reported in professionally exposed individuals such as veterinarians (15.2%) and hunters (14.9%) as well as in liver transplant recipients (19.3%). The seropositivity was similar in the general population (7.1%), forestry workers (6.5%), kidney transplant patients (6.9%) and hematopoietic stem cell transplant patients (5.1%). The lowest seroprevalence (1.7%) was recorded in pregnant women. A significant increase in the seroprevalence with age was observed. In addition, a pet ownership was associated with the HEV seropositivity. Gender, environmental and housing conditions and eating habits were not associated with the HEV seroprevalence.

INTRODUCTION

Hepatitis E virus (HEV) is an emerging viral pathogen of global health concern. It is a nonenveloped RNA virus, a member of the *Hepeviridae* family, genus *Orthohepevirus*^[1]. Along with hepatitis B and hepatitis C virus (1.5 million new infections per year for each virus)^[2,3], HEV is a major cause of viral hepatitis worldwide with approximately 20 million documented infections annually, over three million symptomatic cases, and 60-70000 fatal outcomes^[4]. East and South Asia are accounting for more than 60% of all reported cases^[5]. There are eight known HEV genotypes. HEV-1 and HEV-2 are confined to humans and mainly cause infections in developing countries of tropical and subtropical regions^[4-6]. HEV-3 and HEV-4 have been isolated from humans and different animal species (pigs, wild boars, deer, rabbits, goats, cows), primarily causing sporadic, autochthonous human infections in the developed world^[5,7]. HEV-5 and HEV-6 have been restricted to wild boars only, while genotypes 7 and 8 have been isolated in dromedary and Bactrian camels^[7,8]. In low-income countries, the main mode of HEV transmission is the fecal-oral route (contaminated drinking water, poor hygiene), whereas in more industrialized countries people are getting infected through zoonotic transmission (uncooked or undercooked animal products, mainly infected meat, and milk)^[6,7]. Pigs are known to be the main HEV animal reservoir. It was found that almost 13% of domestic pigs and 9.5% of wild boars are actively infected by HEV with 10% of commercial pork products being HEV-RNA positive^[9]. Several other foods have been also proposed as a potential source of HEV infection in humans, *e.g.* shellfish, mussels, and oysters^[6]. One study found that HEV maintains infectivity for up to 21 d at 37 °C and up to 28 d at usual room temperature^[8]. The virus can also be transmitted through blood transfusion, intravenous drug use, solid organ transplantation (SOT), hemodialysis, or maternal-fetal interaction^[5,7]. In most cases, HEV presents as a self-limiting acute illness with low mortality rates (1%-2%) in immunocompetent individuals. However, genotypes 3, 4, and 7 may induce chronic infection and subsequent cirrhosis in immunocompromised patients, especially in SOT recipients, and human immunodeficiency virus-positive individuals^[4]. Pregnant women are more

susceptible to rapid disease progression such as fulminant hepatic and extrahepatic manifestations and obstetric complications leading to high mortality rates (15%-25%) compared to the non-pregnant population^[4,5]. Professionally exposed individuals (e.g., forestry workers, veterinarians, hunters, farmers) are also at increased risk of getting infected by HEV. Detected HEV seroprevalence rates in professionally exposed workers differ greatly between countries: Forestry workers (2.2%-31.0%)^[10-13], hunters (3.81%-22.2%)^[13-15], and veterinarians (10.2%-43.7%)^[16,17]. In the transplant population, HEV seroprevalence rates were reported to be between 6.0% and 29.6%^[18].

The seroepidemiological studies showed that HEV is widespread in Croatia with seroprevalence rates ranging from 2.7% in healthcare professionals to 24.4% in liver transplant patients and up to 43.5% in hemodialysis patients^[19,20]. However, only preliminary data are available on the HEV seroprevalence in animal-related professions. The aim of this study was to analyze the HEV epidemiology in different professionally exposed (animal-related) and non-exposed population groups in Croatia.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study participants

From October 2016 to September 2017, serum samples collected from Croatian residents were tested for the presence of HEV immunoglobulin G (IgG) antibodies. Samples were obtained from different exposed and non-exposed population groups. Forestry workers, hunters, and veterinarians represented the exposed population. The general population and pregnant women constituted the control group. In addition, from January 2021 to December 2021, a total of 165 serum samples were collected from SOT recipients [liver transplant recipients (LTR) and kidney transplant recipients (KTR)] and hematopoietic stem cell transplant recipients (HSCR). None of the participants showed symptoms of acute hepatitis or reported a recent febrile disease. The distribution of study participants according to the population group is presented in Table 1.

Methods

HEV IgG antibodies were detected using a commercial enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay based on recombinant antigens of HEV genotypes 1 and 3 (Anti-hepatitis E virus IgG ELISA; Euroimmun, Lübeck, Germany). Reactive samples were tested for the presence of HEV IgM antibodies (Anti-hepatitis E virus IgM ELISA; Euroimmun, Lübeck, Germany). Additionally, enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay IgG positive samples were confirmed by a commercial immunoblot (IB) assay using highly purified recombinant HEV antigens: O2N genotype 1/3, O2C genotype 1/3, O2M genotype 1, O3 genotype 1/3 (HEV Recomline, Mikrogen, Neuried, Germany). IB positive samples were further retested using a second assay (Euroline anti-hepatitis E virus IgG, Euroimmun, Lübeck, Germany) based on the recombinant HEV genotypes 1-4 antigens (ORF2). For the IB, the manufacturer states a diagnostic sensitivity of 96.6% and specificity of 97.1% (Mikrogen) and 100% (Euroimmun).

To identify potential risk factors that may be associated with HEV infection, for animal-related professions (exposed group) and non-exposed group, data on demographic characteristics and potential risk factors (eating habits, environmental and housing conditions, traveling history, and blood transfusion), were collected using a questionnaire. The modified Health-Environment-Life Style questionnaire created by the Andrija Stampar School of Public Health, School of Medicine, University of Zagreb was used in the study.

Statistical analysis

The HEV IgG prevalence is presented as percentage with 95% confidence intervals (CI). Differences in categorical variables between HEV seropositive and seronegative participants were tested using a Fisher exact or Fisher-Freeman-Halton test. Odds ratio (OR) and relative risk (RR) were also calculated. Variables with statistical significance in a bivariate analysis were analyzed in a multivariate regression analysis (binary logistic regression). *P* values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant. Statistical analysis was performed using a MedCalc® Statistical Software version 20.022 (MedCalc Software Ltd, Ostend, Belgium; <https://www.medcalc.org>; 2021).

RESULTS

HEV IgG antibodies were detected in 72/727 (9.9%; 95%CI: 7.8-12.3) participants: 40/278 (12.6%; 95%CI: 9.3-16.6) in the exposed group, 11/244 (4.3%; 95%CI: 2.3-7.3) in the non-exposed group and 12/165 (12.7%; 95%CI: 8.1-18.8) in transplant patients. None of the participants were HEV IgM positive. There were significant differences in the IgG seroprevalence rates among population groups (Table 2). In animal-related professions, the seropositivity was higher (14.9% in hunters and 15.2% in veterinarians) than in pregnant women (1.7%) and the general population (7.1%). Seroprevalence in transplant populations was highest in LTR (19.3%), followed by KTR (6.9%) and HSCR (5.1%).

A significant increase in seroprevalence was observed according to age. Participants in the age group 60 + years and 50-59 years showed higher seropositivity rates (23.5% and 10.5%, respectively) compared to younger age groups (2.9%-7.0%). There was no difference in the HEV seroprevalence according to gender, area of residence, number of household members, and educational level (Table 3). The HEV seropositivity did not differ regarding to the frequency of game meat, offal and pork products consumption. However, there was a difference in the seroprevalence rates according to the frequency of shellfish consumption (Table 4).

Analyzing the environmental and housing conditions of the study participants and HEV seropositivity (Table 5), no significant difference was found according to drinking water supply, type of water drainage/sewer, and waste disposal. However, participants who reported having pet animals had a higher seroprevalence rate compared to those who did not have pet animals (12.5% *vs* 7.0%). Traveling history as well as previous blood transfusion, surgical procedure, and tattoo/piercing were not associated with the HEV seroprevalence (Table 6).

Risk analysis showed that animal-related professions and liver transplant patients were more likely to be HEV seropositive than other tested groups: Hunters OR = 3.873, 95%CI: 1.605-9.341; RR = 3.445, 95%CI: 1.556-7.628; veterinarians OR = 3.985, 95%CI:

1.883-8.435; RR = 3.531, 95%CI: 1.771-7.038; LTR OR = 5.058, 95%CI: 2.240-11.420; RR = 4.276, 95%CI: 2.068-8.839 (Table 7).

DISCUSSION

The zoonotic risk of HEV transmission is well established, while exact transmission routes remain to be determined. ¹⁰ The HEV seroprevalence rates vary greatly according to geographical region and study cohorts. ¹ The proposed transmission routes linked to professional exposures include frequent contact with the zoonotic HEV reservoirs, such as pigs and other wildlife species (mainly wild boar)^[12]. Forestry workers, hunters, and veterinarians represented risk populations in this study. The HEV seroprevalence was significantly higher in the exposed population (12.6%) compared to the non-exposed population (4.3%). In the exposed group, seroprevalence was similar in the veterinarians (15.2%) and hunters (14.9%), while it was lower in the forestry workers (6.5%).

The HEV seropositivity in forestry workers included in this study was similar to the seroprevalence in the Croatian general population (7.1%). Seroepidemiological studies conducted among forestry workers in Europe showed seropositivity of 31% in France (2002-2003)^[11] and 18% in Germany (Brandenburg, 2008)^[21]. In more recent studies, HEV antibodies were detected in 2.2% of forest rangers from western Poland (Poznan, 2014)^[10], 5% of forestry workers from eastern Poland (Lubelskie Voivodship, 2014-2015)^[13], and 14% forestry workers in Italy (Trentino-Alto Adige Region, 2014-2015)^[12]. Although forestry workers have been identified as being at risk of HEV infection in several studies, the French study revealed ⁷ for the first time that woodcutters are at a particularly high risk of infection. Among woodcutters, 37.2% were found to be seropositive compared to game and fishing keepers/rangers and silviculturists (20.0% and 24.8% seropositive, respectively)^[11]. Close contact with wild boar stools in the forest environment could be an additional source of HEV infection in this risk population.

The HEV seropositivity in the Croatian hunters included (14.9%) was two times higher than in the general population. Two studies conducted among Polish hunters

from 2010 to 2012, showed a HEV seropositivity of 25%^[22] and 22.2%, respectively^[14]. A similar seroprevalence rate (25%) was detected in Italian hunters from the Latium Region tested during the hunting season^[23] and German hunters (21%) from Central Germany tested in 2013^[15]. In contrast, only 4.2% of hunters from nine Estonian counties were seropositive to HEV in 2013^[24] as well as 3.81% of Polish hunters from the Lubelskie Voivodship (eastern Poland) tested from 2014 to 2015^[13].

In 2009, serum samples were collected from participants of the National Veterinary Congress in Helsinki. Finnish veterinarians commonly have HEV antibodies with a seroprevalence of 10.2%. The highest seroprevalence rate of 17.8% was unexpectedly detected in small animal practitioners^[17]. A study from Norway in 2013 found IgG seroprevalence of 14%. When stratifying by work experience, HEV seroprevalence in Norwegian veterinarians working with swine was more than two times higher compared to those who did not work with swine (22% vs 9%)^[25]. Chinese studies conducted from 2003 to 2017 demonstrated high IgG seropositivity in veterinarians ranging from 26.7%^[16] to 43.7%^[26]. In addition, a high seroprevalence rate of 23% was notified in swine veterinarians in the United States^[27].

A Thai study found no association between HEV seroprevalence and frequent direct occupational pig contact^[28]. A very low HEV seropositivity (2.6%) was found in Estonian veterinarians. All antibody-positive veterinarians worked in small animal clinics and some of them were cat or dog owners^[29]. The majority of veterinarians included in this study are small animal practitioners and showed seropositivity to HEV of 15.2%. In some countries, significant regional differences in the HEV seroprevalence were observed. In Polish hunters, seroprevalence varied from 10% to 42.4%^[14].

A recent meta-analysis of 14626 transplant recipients showed that anti-HEV seroprevalence ranges from 6%-29.6%^[18]. This wide HEV seroprevalence range reflects the differences in viral circulation within different geographical regions and the impact of assays used in the particular study. In our transplant cohort, liver transplant recipients showed the highest seroprevalence rate of 19.3%, and in the risk analysis for HEV IgG seropositivity exhibited the highest OR of 5.058 among tested groups. This

supports the hypothesis that HEV in combination with other factors may promote chronic inflammation and even be a cofactor in hepatocellular carcinoma occurrence leading to liver transplant candidacy^[30]. Chronicity of HEV infection is of emerging relevance in transplant recipients however ⁵ there are still conflicting viewpoints on the overall anti-HEV seroprevalence and rate of chronic HEV infection in immunocompromised patients^[31].

Several studies have found gender variations in HEV seropositivity, with males having higher seropositivity compared to women^[14,32]. This study found no significant difference in the seropositivity between men (10.9%) and women (7.2%). Similarly, a German study found ¹ no differences in the seropositivity between men and women^[15]. An increase in HEV ¹ seroprevalence with age, which is likely to reflect cumulative exposure to HEV over time was observed in many studies^[11,12,14,27]. In this study, the highest seropositivity (23.5%) was observed in the age group 60 + years compared to 2.9%-10.9% in other age groups. In contrast, one German study conducted in forestry workers did not find a statistically significant association between seropositivity and age^[21]. Similarly, no association of HEV seroprevalence with age was observed in Norwegian veterinarians^[25].

Working with a septic tank was identified a strong risk factor for HEV infection in the Netherlands^[33]. Additionally, using a stream or ⁶ well as a source of water has been identified as a risk factor for HEV infection in some countries^[34]. Similar to a previous Croatian study^[35], in this study, we found no difference in the HEV seroprevalence regarding the type of drainage/sewer, type of water supply, and waste disposal.

Eating habits were associated with HEV seropositivity in some studies. Eating internal pig organs more than twice a week was linked to a ⁴ higher seroprevalence of anti-HEV IgG in a rural community in Thailand^[28]. In France, ⁴ the presence of anti-HEV IgG was associated with the consumption of pork meat, pork liver sausages, game meat, offal, and oysters^[36]. The association between HEV seroprevalence and consumption of stewed offal was also confirmed among Polish hunters^[12]. In Italy, many seropositive individuals reported consumption of raw or undercooked food,

particularly shellfish (mussels) and unbottled drinking water^[37]. This study found no association between offal consumption and HEV seropositivity. Although participants who reported eating pork liver every week showed a higher seroprevalence rate (18.2%) compared to those who did not eat or eat pork liver rarely (0%-15.0%), this difference was not significant. In addition, there was no difference in the seropositivity regarding pork meat and game meat consumption. Like our results, a German study showed that wild boar meat consumption was not associated with HEV seroprevalence. However, HEV RNA was detected in muscle specimens from wild boar suggesting this is a possible HEV transmission route^[15]. Surprisingly, there was a significant difference in the seroprevalence regarding shellfish consumption in our study, with the highest seroprevalence in participants who reported to never eat shellfish. However, due to a very small number in the tested subgroups regarding the frequency of shellfish consumption, this limitation should be considered when interpreting the results.

In this study, a pet ownership was associated with HEV seroprevalence with a seropositivity rate of 12.5% in individuals who reported having a cat/dog compared to 7.0% in those who did not have pet animals. Animal ownership, including both domestic and pet animals was not found to be a risk factor for HEV infection in a Jordanian study^[38]. The use of a self-reported questionnaire in the study is a limitation that should be addressed. In addition, data on the risk factors in the transplant patients were not available.

CONCLUSION

The results of this study showed that individuals in professional contact with animals are at higher risk for HEV infection. Identification of people at risk for HEV infection is essential in order to implement preventive measures and educate these groups about modes of HEV transmission and prevention. Since immunocompromised populations such as SOT are at risk of chronic hepatitis E, such individuals should be counseled regarding the risk of HEV before and after transplantation.

ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS

Research background

Hepatitis E virus (HEV) seroprevalence rates differ greatly according to geographic region and population group.

Research motivation

Only preliminary data are available on the HEV seroprevalence in some population groups in Croatia.

Research objectives

To determine the HEV seroprevalence in different populations (animal-related professions, transplant patients, general population).

Research methods

HEV immunoglobulin G antibodies were detected using an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay. Initially, reactive samples were confirmed by immunoblot test.

Research results

Significant differences in the HEV seropositivity were observed among population groups: Veterinarians 15.2%, hunters 14.9%, forestry workers 6.5%, general population 7.1%, pregnant women 1.7%, liver transplant recipients 19.3%, kidney transplant recipients 6.9% and hematopoietic stem cell recipients 5.1%. Older age was a significant risk factor for HEV seropositivity. In addition, pet ownership was associated with the HEV seroprevalence. Sociodemographic characteristics, eating habits, environmental and housing conditions were not associated with the HEV seropositivity.

Research conclusions

Professional contact with animals and older age are significant risk factors for HEV infection.

Research perspectives

Further studies on a large sample of exposed and non-exposed population are needed to determine the HEV RNA prevalence/seroprevalence and clinical significance of HEV infection in the Croatian population.

6%

SIMILARITY INDEX

PRIMARY SOURCES

- 1 www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov 30 words — 1%
Internet
- 2 H. LANGE, J. ØVERBØ, K. BORGES, S. DUDMAN, G. HODDEVIK, A. M. URDAHL, L. VOLD, S. K. SJURSETH. "Hepatitis E in Norway: seroprevalence in humans and swine", *Epidemiology and Infection*, 2016 25 words — 1%
Crossref
- 3 T. Kantala, P. M. Kinnunen, S. Oristo, P. Jokelainen, O. Vapalahti, L. Maunula. "Hepatitis E Virus Antibodies in Finnish Veterinarians", *Zoonoses and Public Health*, 2017 21 words — 1%
Crossref
- 4 Capai, Masse, Gallian, Souty, Isnard, Blanchon, Peres, de Lamballerie, Charrel, Falchi. "Seroprevalence Study of Anti-HEV IgG among Different Adult Populations in Corsica, France, 2019", *Microorganisms*, 2019 20 words — 1%
Crossref
- 5 Gustav Buescher, Ann - Kathrin Ozga, Eva Lorenz, Sven Pischke, Jürgen May, Marylyn M. Addo, Thomas Horvatits. "Hepatitis E seroprevalence and viremia rate in immunocompromised patients: a systematic review and meta - analysis", *Liver International*, 2020 19 words — 1%
Crossref

6 Vilibic-Cavlek, T., M. Vilibic, B. Kolaric, L. Jemersic, 17 words — < 1%
J. Kucinar, L. Barbic, A. Bagaric, V. Stevanovic, I.
Tabain, M. Sviben, V. Jukic, and G. Mlinaric-Galinovic.
"Seroepidemiology of Hepatitis E in Selected Population Groups
in Croatia: A Prospective Pilot Study", Zoonoses and Public
Health, 2016.

Crossref

7 A. Carpentier, H. Chaussade, E. Rigaud, J. 14 words — < 1%
Rodriguez et al. "High Hepatitis E Virus
Seroprevalence in Forestry Workers and in Wild Boars in
France", Journal of Clinical Microbiology, 2012

Crossref

8 link.springer.com 14 words — < 1%
Internet

9 Antonia Ricci, Ana Allende, Declan Bolton, 13 words — < 1%
Marianne Chemaly et al. "Public health risks
associated with hepatitis E virus (HEV) as a food - borne
pathogen", EFSA Journal, 2017

Crossref

10 Anna Mrzljak, Ivan Balen, Ljubo Barbic, Maja Ilic, 12 words — < 1%
Tatjana Vilibic-Cavlek. "Hepatitis E virus in
professionally exposed: A reason for concern?", World Journal
of Hepatology, 2021

Crossref

11 worldwidescience.org 12 words — < 1%
Internet