

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 6444

Title: Survival and Quality of life (QoL) in patients with pancreatic cancer

Reviewer code: 02445431

Science editor: Cui, Xue-Mei

Date sent for review: 2013-10-21 15:17

Date reviewed: 2013-11-20 10:45

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS: Pancreatic adenocarcinoma is one of the most aggressive cancers. Complete tumour resection is a requirement for potential cure, and the reorganization of care in the direction of high patient-volume centres, offering mulitmodal treatment, has improved outcome recorded with the endpoints survival and Quality of Life (QoL). This paper reviewed and summarized available evidence, searched with the focus of putting together opportunities of improvement of survival and patient reported outcome (PRO). The study is concise and informative and I suggest it may be acceptable without revision.

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 6444

Title: Survival and Quality of life (QoL) in patients with pancreatic cancer

Reviewer code: 00186066

Science editor: Cui, Xue-Mei

Date sent for review: 2013-10-21 15:17

Date reviewed: 2013-12-05 00:56

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is an interesting manuscript wherein the authors have reviewed the current state of knowledge of pancreatic cancer. I have the following concerns on the paper that needs to be addressed. 1. The title of the review goes as 'SURVIVAL AND QUALITY OF LIFE IN PATIENTS WITH PANCREATIC CANCER'; while the content of the manuscript appears to be a general review of pancreatic cancer. Therefore, it would be more appealing if the authors either change the title of the article to represent the contents or change the contents according to the title. I personally would prefer my first suggestion. 2. There are several typographic errors all throughout the manuscript. These needs to be corrected. 3. The flow of the manuscript appears haphazard. For example, authors described different treatment modalities and then again include a segment under the name of evidence based medicine. Are the data that the authors already mentioned prior to this segment not evidence based? 4. Somewhat more detailed description of biomarkers will make the review more informative. The authors have not included biomarkers like blood glucose and adrenomedullin. (Chari, Gastroenterology). 5. Presentation of surgical and chemotherapy data can be more informative in tabular form. 6. A separate section on quality of life appears to be missing from the manuscript, as alluded to in the title. 7. A paragraph on future of treatment of pancreatic cancer would make the review attractive!