

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 25917

Title: Pancreatic cancer: open or minimal invasive?

Reviewer's code: 00001832

Reviewer's country: Germany

Science editor: Ya-Juan Ma

Date sent for review: 2016-03-26 19:19

Date reviewed: 2016-03-30 02:33

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript by Yu-hua Zhang reviews minimal invasive surgery for pancreatic cancer. The topic is interesting and timely, and the review is -in general- well written and the relevant literature is cited and discussed. However, there are a number of points that should be addressed: ? There are a number of somewhat awkward statements such as for example ?reducing damage to the patients“, “the golden criteria for resection“ and others. The manuscript would need thorough editing regarding grammar and style. ? The statement regarding R0 resection is not completely correct. R0 is indeed an important prognostic factor; however, most pancreatic cancer resections are R1 resections and long term survival is possible even for R1 resections. ? The summary about laparoscopic surgery should be toned down; most data is from non-randomized retrospective series. ? The authors should highlight that this is not a systematic review. Nonetheless, the authors should state how the literature was reviewed and how the included articles were selected.