

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Immunology

ESPS manuscript NO: 21009

Title: Review of immunological responses to porcine coronaviruses and implications on population based control strategies in epidemic and endemic infections

Reviewer's code: 00503086

Reviewer's country: Spain

Science editor: Xue-Mei Gong

Date sent for review: 2015-06-29 15:51

Date reviewed: 2015-07-02 20:28

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript is very well structured and is very easy to read and therefore find the content very interesting. The title accurately reflect the major topic and content of the study and the text provide a clear delineation of the background, objectives, and conclusions of the review. However, a major mistake is found in the references section. The same reference is repeated many times with different numbers. This is not the style in any journal I know. In fact, in my opinion there are few references. For any of the subjects the authors are talking about, more and novelty published articles will support better the conclusions of the manuscript as well as help to the readers of the manuscript interested in those particular subjects. Minor point: a few typographical mistakes, such as some commas, etc should be checked.