



## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Gastroenterology*

**Manuscript NO:** 88707

**Title:** Optimized sequential therapy vs 10 and 14-d concomitant therapy for eradicating *Helicobacter pylori*: A randomized clinical trial

**Provenance and peer review:** Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 03009411

**Position:** Editorial Board

**Academic degree:** MD

**Professional title:** Associate Professor, Chief Physician

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** China

**Author's Country/Territory:** Morocco

**Manuscript submission date:** 2023-10-06

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2023-10-10 11:27

**Reviewer performed review:** 2023-10-20 04:08

**Review time:** 9 Days and 16 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Novelty of this manuscript</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
<b>Creativity or innovation of this manuscript</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation



<b>Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
<b>Language quality</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

**SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

The resistance of *Helicobacter pylori* to antibiotics is an important reason for treatment failure. The prevalence of antimicrobial resistance is widely rising which become a challenge for the treatment of *Helicobacter pylori* infection. The best strategy would be a personalized treatment according to antibiotics susceptibility. At present, empirically selecting treatment regimens based on the prevalence of antibiotic resistance among bacteria in the region is still the main strategy in most countries and regions. The authors conducted an open-label prospective randomized study to compare the efficacy and safety between an optimized sequential therapy and the standard non-bismuth quadruple therapies of 10 and 14 days. They found optimized 14-day sequential therapy is a safe and effective alternative that allows an eradication rate comparable to that of 14-day concomitant therapy while causing fewer adverse AEs and allowing a gain in terms of cost. Here are some questions and suggestions: In present study, the eradication rates of ITT and PP in the OST-14 group were all higher than those in the OT-14 group. Although there was no significant statistical difference between the two groups, it is recommended to explore the possible mechanisms by which the OST regimen improves



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568

**E-mail:** office@baishideng.com

**https://**www.wjgnet.com

efficacy in the discussion section of the article. Why is the efficacy of QST not ideal in some countries and regions, and what may be the reasons that affect the efficacy of QST regimens? The author mentioned in the article that Vonoprazan can be used instead of PPI to improve the efficacy of Helicobacter pylori eradication. With the increasingly serious issue of antibiotic resistance in Helicobacter pylori, especially the impact of clarithromycin resistance on treatment, whether the author consider exploring the application of Vonoprazan in the treatment of Helicobacter pylori in future study?



## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Gastroenterology*

**Manuscript NO:** 88707

**Title:** Optimized sequential therapy vs 10 and 14-d concomitant therapy for eradicating *Helicobacter pylori*: A randomized clinical trial

**Provenance and peer review:** Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 05112530

**Position:** Editorial Board

**Academic degree:** Doctor, DPhil, MD, PhD

**Professional title:** Chief Doctor, Professor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Russia

**Author's Country/Territory:** Morocco

**Manuscript submission date:** 2023-10-06

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2023-10-30 17:30

**Reviewer performed review:** 2023-11-08 04:41

**Review time:** 8 Days and 11 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Novelty of this manuscript</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
<b>Creativity or innovation of this manuscript</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation



<b>Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript aimed optimization of eradication therapy in regions with high clarithromycin resistance rate. The title reflects the main subject of the manuscript. The abstract summarizes the work described in the manuscript. The key words reflect the focus of the manuscript. Manuscript describes methods in adequate detail. For initial diagnostics authors used morphology and took biopsies according to the recommended Sydney system. Unfortunately they didn't use the OLGA/OLGIM system for description of gastritis, which would be important. Patients who received eradication therapy previously etc. were excluded from the study. Probably they were not included, as well as pregnant and breastfeeding females. As authors described previous local data: the eradication rate of 10 days therapy was 83%. I'm not sure if it was ethical to prescribe 10-days regimen of therapy in one of the randomised groups. At that period the Maastricht V consensus had recommended the only 14-days regimen. It is important that the manuscript had confirmed local efficacy of 14-days non-bismuth quadrotherapy, optimization therapy by including of rabeprazole and cost efficacy of 14-day concomitant therapy I would recommend improving statistical analysis. In tables 1 and 2



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568

**E-mail:** [office@baishideng.com](mailto:office@baishideng.com)

**https://**[www.wjgnet.com](http://www.wjgnet.com)

shown 4 and 3 groups of patients and the only one p-value.