

Response to Reviewers' Comments

We thank the editor and the reviewers for the thorough and detailed review of our manuscript. Please find below a point-by-point response to the reviewers' comments. We have made the changes suggested by the reviewers in our revised manuscript.

Please let us know if there is anything else we can do to improve our manuscript. Thank you once again.

Reviewer #1: Chatila et al. wrote a comprehensive review of evaluation and management of acute pancreatitis. The mini- review is well written. Easy to read. Thank you.

- *Thank you for reviewing our manuscript and your kind comments.*

Reviewer #2: The manuscript gives a good overview of the management of acute pancreatitis. Although there are no original findings presented, it is well-written and covers all relevant aspects of the management of acute pancreatitis. Comments: The term "Clinical Pearls" in the title seems to suggest that the manuscript concerns some rare and exclusive circumstances and not the routine care of patients with acute pancreatitis. I would consider another title. The abstract is well-written, although the word limit makes it impossible to give thorough summary of the manuscript. The key words are adequate. The Background is very short but gives a good introduction the paper. The manuscript is well organised and covers most of the important aspects in the management of acute pancreatitis. However, little is mentioned about the need for early admission to intensive care units and how to identify which patients face the risk for multi-organ failure (although this is mentioned briefly in the description of the

different scoring systems) The most important references are included in the paper, although many of the references cited are relatively old.

- *Thank you for your reviewing our manuscript and your valuable comments.*
- *We have changed the title per your suggestion to: "Evaluation and Management of Acute Pancreatitis".*
- *We have added a section on the need for early admission to the intensive care unit per your suggestion, in our revised manuscript.*
- *We have edited the references per your suggestions.*