



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 57892

Title: Compound heterozygous mutations in the NEU1 gene in type 1 sialidosis: Case report and literature review

Reviewer's code: 03104092

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: United States

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2020-07-12

Reviewer chosen by: Jia-Ru Fan

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-11-16 19:01

Reviewer performed review: 2020-11-16 22:28

Review time: 3 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

Telephone: +1-925-399-1568

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a well-written manuscript. Even if the consequences of the mutations in NEU identified in the patient are not novel, the authors have raised the importance of sensitizing the medical field of their occurrence among the Asiatic population. Table 2 with the comparison between the age of onset and diagnosis is driving the point. It is mentioned that the patient's parents did not exhibit the same marked symptoms than the patient which is affected by 2 mutations in the gene. However, it is not mentioned if they present with other mild symptoms. When compared with the other patients (Table 2), most of them with a S182G mutation, it would be expected to see some symptoms in the mother. The authors might want to explain this discrepancy.