

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Otorhinolaryngology

ESPS manuscript NO: 14282

Title: Complicated sinusitis in children: 18 cases report

Reviewer code: 02535772

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2014-09-28 19:24

Date reviewed: 2014-11-12 23:32

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript includes a retrospective study of complicated cases of pediatric rhinosinusitis. It was well written study. Only sometimes "rhinosinusitis" sometimes "sinusitis" were used, "rhinosinusitis" term should be used uniformly.

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Otorhinolaryngology

ESPS manuscript NO: 14282

Title: Complicated sinusitis in children: 18 cases report

Reviewer code: 02914064

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2014-09-28 19:24

Date reviewed: 2014-10-10 18:35

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

GENERAL COMMENTS In the present study, authors have analyzed 18 cases of complicated sinusitis in children. Although this work is interesting, technical corrections need to be done, as well as better presentation of results (less text, more tables or graphs). English needs to be improved. Comments are given for each section of manuscript. **TITLE** The title is appropriate. **ABSTRACT** Average age -> mean age; add standard deviation (SD) Associated to -> associated with **INTRODUCTION** Update the introduction by considering the fact that fungi are as well recognized as causative agents. **MATERIALS AND METHODS** Add inclusion and exclusion criteria. Give the explanation for ENT abbreviation. What do you mean by patients profile, gender/age? **RESULTS** The biggest remark for this manuscript is result presentation. There is a lot of text and information, so it is hard to follow. In order to make it more clearly, I suggest introducing more tables or pie charts which will illustrate results better to follow. Additionally, I suggest that numerical results are uniformly presented as, for example: fever (10/18; 55.6%). **DISCUSSION** All microbial genera are written in italic and with capitalized first letter for example *Staphylococcus*. In literature, there are also cases with sinusitis complication cause by fungi, so it would be useful to comment that as well in discussion. **REFERENCES** No remarks - the majority of references are published in the last 10 years. **TABLES / FIGURES** Table 1. - In the last column header is written %, but no % are given in the table. Also, it is unnecessary to repeat word "case", it is better to put Number of cases in the table heading, and then just write numbers in the table. The same is valid for Table 2.

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Otorhinolaryngology

ESPS manuscript NO: 14282

Title: Complicated sinusitis in children: 18 cases report

Reviewer code: 00646447

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2014-09-28 19:24

Date reviewed: 2014-11-06 06:30

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The paper deals with the issue of complicated sinusitis in children. The paper is well written, and figures are really informative. Table I, % are not % Please, follow the journal's instructions for authors.